

THE SUM OF A FINITE GROUP OF WEIGHTS OF A HOPF ALGEBRA

APOORVA KHARE

ABSTRACT. We evaluate the sum of a finite group of linear characters of a Hopf algebra, at all grouplike and skew-primitive elements, and mention results for products of skew-primitive elements. Examples include quantum groups associated to semisimple and abelian Lie algebras, and degenerate affine Hecke algebras of reductive type with trivial parameter.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Motivation. Suppose G is a finite group, and we consider the irreducible characters $\widehat{G} = \{\chi : \mathbb{C}G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}$, over $\mathbb{C}$. Then we have the *orthogonality relations* for characters. This implies the following: if we define $\theta_\chi := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g^{-1})g \in H = \mathbb{C}G$, then $\nu(\theta_\chi) = 0$ for $\chi \neq \nu \in \widehat{G}$.

Similarly, the other orthogonality relation (for columns) implies, among other things, that $\sum_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} (\dim \rho_\chi) \chi(g)$ is either zero or a factor of $|G|$, depending on whether or not $g = 1$. (Here, ρ_χ is the irreducible representation with character χ .)

In what follows, we will work over a commutative integral domain R . Note that there is an analogue of the first equation above for any R -algebra H that is a free R -module - for linear characters, or *weights*: given an algebra map $\lambda : H \rightarrow R$, we define a *left λ -integral* of H to be any (nonzero) $\Lambda_L^\lambda \in H$ so that $h \cdot \Lambda_L^\lambda = \lambda(h) \Lambda_L^\lambda$ for all $h \in H$. One can similarly define right and two-sided λ -integrals in H . (For instance, the θ_χ 's above, are two-sided χ -integrals for any weight χ .) Then we have the following result (and similar results hold for right λ -integrals too):

Lemma 1.1. *If $\lambda \neq \nu$ are weights of H with corresponding nonzero left integrals $\Lambda_L^\lambda, \Lambda_L^\nu$ respectively, then $\nu(\Lambda_L^\lambda) = 0 = \Lambda_L^\lambda \Lambda_L^\nu$.*

Proof. Choose h so that $\lambda(h) \neq \nu(h)$. Then

$$\nu(h) \nu(\Lambda_L^\lambda) \Lambda_L^\nu = h \Lambda_L^\lambda \cdot \Lambda_L^\nu = (h \cdot \Lambda_L^\lambda) \cdot \Lambda_L^\nu = \lambda(h) \Lambda_L^\lambda \cdot \Lambda_L^\nu$$

whence $\nu(\Lambda_L^\lambda) \cdot (\lambda(h) - \nu(h)) \Lambda_L^\nu = 0$. Since we are working over an integral domain and within a free module, hence this implies that $\nu(\Lambda_L^\lambda) = 0$. Moreover, $\Lambda_L^\lambda \Lambda_L^\nu = \nu(\Lambda_L^\lambda) \Lambda_L^\nu = 0$. $\square$

Date: February 2, 2008.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16W30 (primary), 17B10 (secondary).

Thus, we now seek a “Hopf-theoretic” analogue for the second orthogonality relation (which might involve only weights, and not all irreducible characters). Note that in general, a Hopf algebra might not have a nontrivial (sub)group of linear characters that is finite (for instance, $\mathcal{U}\mathfrak{g}$ for a complex nonabelian Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$). However, if such subgroups do exist, then we try below, to evaluate the sum (i.e. the coefficient of each weight is $\dim \rho = 1$) of all the weights in them, at various elements of H . For example, one may ask: does this sum always vanish at a nontrivial grouplike $g \in H$?

1.2. One of the setups, and some references. Instead of attempting a summary of the results, which are several and computational, we make some remarks. Let Π be a finite group of weights of a Hopf algebra H over a commutative unital integral domain R . One has the notion of *grouplike* elements (i.e. $\Delta(g) = g \otimes g$) and *skew-primitive* elements in H .

We define $\Sigma_\Pi := \sum_{\gamma \in \Pi} \gamma : H \rightarrow R$, and compute Σ_Π at all grouplike and skew-primitive elements in H . In a wide variety of examples - including that in [AS] - the computations reduce to grouplike elements. In other words, there are several families of algebras, where knowing Σ_Π at grouplike elements effectively tells us Σ_Π at *all* elements.

We then attempt to evaluate Σ_Π at products of skew-primitive elements. Once again, in the spirit of the previous paragraph, there are numerous examples of Hopf algebras generated by grouplike and skew-primitive elements in the literature. The first two examples below are from folklore, and references can be found in [Mon].

- (1) By the Cartier-Kostant-Milnor-Moore Theorem (e.g. cf. [Mon, Theorem 5.6.5]), every cocommutative connected Hopf algebra H over a field of characteristic zero, is of the form $\mathcal{U}\mathfrak{g}$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is the set of primitive elements in H . Similarly, every complex cocommutative Hopf algebra is generated by primitive and grouplike elements.
- (2) If our Hopf algebra is pointed (and over a field), then by the Taft-Wilson Theorem [Mon, Theorem 5.4.1.1], we have evaluated Σ_Π on any element of C_1 , the first term in the coradical filtration (which is spanned by grouplike and skew-primitive elements).
- (3) The final example is from a recent paper [AS]. The Classification Theorem 0.1 says, in particular, that if H is a finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, and the grouplike elements form an abelian group of order coprime to 210, then H is generated by grouplike and skew-primitive elements.

Let us conclude with one of our results. We say that an element $h \in H$ is *pseudo-primitive* with respect to Π if $\Delta(h) = g \otimes h + h \otimes g'$ for grouplike g, g' satisfying $\gamma(g) = \gamma(g')$ for all $\gamma \in \Pi$. (We shall mention the respective references in the paper, after stating the results.)

Theorem 1.1. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, as well as the R -Hopf algebra H and a finite subgroup of weights Π of H . Suppose $h_1, \dots, h_n \in H$ are pseudo-primitive with respect to Π , and $\Delta(h_i) = g_i \otimes h_i + h_i \otimes g'_i$ for all i . Define $\mathbf{h} = \prod_i h_i$, and similarly, $\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{g}'$.

- (1) If $\text{char}(R) = 0$ or $\text{char}(R) \nmid |\Pi|$, then $\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h}) = 0$.
- (2) Suppose $0 < p = \text{char}(R)$ divides $|\Pi|$, and Π_p is any p -Sylow subgroup. If $\Pi_p \not\cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^m$ for any $m > 0$, then $\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h}) = 0$.
- (3) (p as above.) Define $\Phi := \Pi/[\Pi, \Pi]$, and by above, suppose $\Phi_p \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^k$ is a p -Sylow subgroup of Φ . Let Φ' be any Hall complement (any subgroup); thus $|\Phi'| = |\Phi|/|\Phi_p|$. Then

$$\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h}) = |[\Pi, \Pi]| \cdot \Sigma_{\Phi'}(\mathbf{g}) \cdot \Sigma_{\Phi_p}(\mathbf{h})$$

- (4) If $\Sigma_{\Phi_p}(\mathbf{h})$ is nonzero, then $(p-1)|n|$, and $0 \leq k \leq n/(p-1)$. (Moreover, examples exist wherein $\Sigma_{\Phi_p}(\mathbf{h})$ can take any value $r \in R$.)

These results occur below as Proposition 5.4, and Theorems 7.3, 7.2, and 7.4 respectively.

2. GROUPLIKE ELEMENTS AND QUANTUM GROUPS

2.1. Preliminaries. We first set some notation, and make some definitions.

Definition 2.1. Suppose R is a commutative unital integral domain.

- (1) *Integers* in R are the image of the group homomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R$, sending $1 \mapsto 1$.
- (2) A *weight* of an R -algebra H is an R -algebra map $\nu : H \rightarrow R$. Denote the set of weights by Γ (or Γ_H). Given $\nu \in \Gamma_H$, the ν -*weight space* of an H -module V is $V_\nu := \{v \in V : g(h) = \nu(h)v \ \forall h \in H\}$.
- (3) Given a free R -module H , define H^* to be the set of R -module maps $\lambda : H \rightarrow R$.
- (4) We will denote an R -Hopf algebra H as an R -algebra $(H, \mu = \cdot, \eta)$ (where μ, η are coalgebra maps) as well as an R -coalgebra (H, Δ, ε) (where Δ, ε are algebra maps), equipped also with an antipode S (which is an R -(co)algebra anti-homomorphism).
- (5) In a Hopf algebra, an element h is *grouplike* if $\Delta(h) = h \otimes h$, and *primitive* if $\Delta(h) = 1 \otimes h + h \otimes 1$. Define $G(H)$ (resp. H_{prim}) to be the set of grouplike (resp. primitive) elements in a Hopf algebra H .

For an introduction to Hopf algebras, see [Abe]. In particular, H^* is also an R -algebra under *convolution* Δ^* : given $\lambda, \nu \in H^*$ and $h \in H$, one defines

$$\langle \lambda * \nu, h \rangle := \langle \lambda \otimes \nu, \Delta(h) \rangle$$

The set Γ of weights is now a group under $*$, with inverse given by

$$\langle \lambda^{-1}, h \rangle := \langle \lambda, S(h) \rangle$$

We do not have a comultiplication map on H^* in general (that is dual to the multiplication in H); however, in case we do, the set Γ is the group $G(H^*)$ of grouplike elements in H^* .

Standing Assumption 2.1. For this article, H is any Hopf algebra over a commutative unital integral domain R . Fix a finite subgroup of weights $\Pi \subset \Gamma = \Gamma_H$.

In general, given a finite subgroup $\Pi \subset \Gamma$ for any Hopf algebra H , the element $\Sigma_\Pi := \sum_{\gamma \in \Pi} \gamma$ is a functional in H^* , and if R (i.e. its quotient field) has characteristic zero, then Σ_Π does not kill the scalars $\eta(R)$. What, then, is its kernel? How about if Π is cyclic, or all of Γ (this, only if H is R -free, and finite-dimensional over the quotient field of R)?

Lemma 2.1. $\Pi \subset \Gamma = \Gamma_H$ as above.

- (1) $\Sigma_\Pi(1) = 0$ if and only if $\text{char}(R)$ divides $|\Pi|$.
- (2) $[H, H] \subset \ker \Sigma_\Pi$.
- (3) $\Sigma_\Pi(\text{ad } h(h')) = \varepsilon(h)\Sigma_\Pi(h')$ for all $h, h' \in H$. In particular, if $h \in \ker \varepsilon$, then $\text{im}(\text{ad } h) \subset \ker \Sigma_\Pi$.

Proof. The first part is easy, and the other two follow because the statements hold if we replace Σ_Π by any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ (since such γ 's are algebra maps). $\square$

The goal of this section and the next, is to evaluate Σ_Π at all grouplike and skew-primitive elements in H . For these computations, a key fact to note is that for all $\lambda \in \Pi$, we have (in the R -algebra H^*):

$$\lambda * \Sigma_\Pi = \sum_{\nu \in \Pi} \lambda * \nu = \Sigma_\Pi = \cdots = \Sigma_\Pi * \lambda \quad (1)$$

Remark 2.1. We will occasionally compute Σ_Π at elements of an R -algebra H (that is not a Hopf algebra), where $\Pi \subset \Gamma_H$ has a group structure on it. As seen in Proposition 2.2 below, there is some underlying Hopf algebra in some cases.

Definition 2.2. Suppose we have a subset $\Theta \subset \Gamma$, and $\lambda \in \Pi$.

- (1) For $g \in G(H)$, define $\Gamma_g := \{\gamma \in \Gamma : \gamma(g) = 1\}$, and $\Theta_g := \Gamma_g \cap \Theta$.
- (2) Define $G_\Theta(H)$ to be the set (actually, normal subgroup) of grouplike elements $g \in G(H)$ so that $\gamma(g) = 1$ for all $\gamma \in \Theta$.
- (3) For finite Θ , define the functional $\Sigma_\Theta \in H^*$ to be $\Sigma_\Theta := \sum_{\gamma \in \Theta} \gamma$. We set $\Sigma_\emptyset := 0$.
- (4) $n_\lambda := o_\Pi(\lambda) = |\langle \lambda \rangle|$ is defined to be the order of λ in Π .

Remark 2.2.

- (1) For instance, $G_{\{\varepsilon\}}(H) = G(H)$, and $G(H) \cap [1 + \text{im}(\text{id} - S^2)] \subset G_\Gamma(H)$ because (e.g. cf. [Abe]) every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ equals $(\gamma^{-1})^{-1}$, so
$$\gamma(h) = (\gamma^{-1})^{-1}(h) = \gamma^{-1}(S(h)) = \gamma(S^2(h)) \quad \forall h \in H$$
- (2) For any $g \in G(H)$ and $\Theta \subset \Gamma$, we have that $\Theta_g = \Theta$ if and only if $g \in G_\Theta(H)$.
- (3) Θ_g is a subgroup if Θ is.

2.2. Grouplike elements. We first determine how Σ_Π acts on grouplike elements, and answer the motivating question above, of finding a Hopf-theoretic analogue of the second orthogonality relations for group characters.

Proposition 2.1 (“Orthogonality” at grouplike elements). *If $g \in G(H) \setminus G_\Pi(H)$, then $\Sigma_\Pi(g) = 0$. If $g \in G_\Pi(H)$, then $\Sigma_\Pi(g) = |\Pi|$.*

Proof. If $g \in G_\Pi(H)$, the result is trivial, so now assume that $g \notin G_\Pi(H)$. Then there is some weight λ that does not send it to 1. By equation (1), we get that $\Sigma_\Pi(g) = (\lambda * \Sigma_\Pi)(g) = \lambda(g)\Sigma_\Pi(g)$. Thus $(1 - \lambda(g))\Sigma_\Pi(g) = 0$, and we are done since $\lambda(g) \neq 1$. $\square$

Before we look at various examples, we need some notation.

Definition 2.3. As always, R is a commutative unital integral domain. Let l be a nonnegative integer.

- (1) Define $\sqrt[l]{1}$ (resp. $\sqrt[l]{1}$) to be the set of (l^{th}) roots of unity in R . (Thus, $\sqrt[0]{1} = R^\times$, $\sqrt[1]{1} = \{1\}$, and $\sqrt{l}{1} = \cup_{l>0} \sqrt[l]{1}$.)
- (2) Given $q \in R^\times$, define $\text{char}(q)$ to be the smallest positive integer m so that $q^m = 1$, and zero if no such m exists.
- (3) The group $\mathfrak{G}_{n,l}$ is the abelian group generated by $\{K_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, with relations $K_i K_j = K_j K_i$, $K_i^l = 1$.
- (4) The group $\mathfrak{G}_{n,l}^*$ is defined to be $(\sqrt[l]{1} \cap \sqrt[l]{1})^n$.

Thus, $\mathfrak{G}_{n,l}$ is free iff $l = 0$, $\mathfrak{G}_{n,l}^* = (\sqrt[l]{1})^n \forall l > 0$, and $\mathfrak{G}_{n,0}^* = (\sqrt{1})^n$.

The first example where we apply the above result, is obviously:

Example 1 (Group rings). For instance, the above result computes Σ_Π on all of H , if H is a group ring. We present a specific example: $G = \mathfrak{G}_{n,l}$ (defined above), for (a fixed) $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $l \geq 0$. Then $\Gamma = (\sqrt[l]{1})^n$, and any finite order element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ maps each K_i to a root of unity in R . Thus, $\Pi \subset (\sqrt[l]{1})^n \cap \Gamma = \mathfrak{G}_{n,l}^*$.

Let us now compute $\Sigma_\Pi(g)$ for some $g = \prod_{i=1}^n K_i^{n_i}$, where $n_i \in \mathbb{Z} \forall i$. Note that the set $\{\gamma(K_i) : 1 \leq i \leq n, \gamma \in \Pi\}$ is a finite set of roots of unity; hence the subgroup of $\sqrt[l]{1} \subset R^\times$ that it generates, is cyclic, say $\langle \zeta \rangle$. Thus, $\gamma(K_i) = \zeta^{l_i(\gamma)}$ for some $l_i : \Pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.

The above result now says that $\Sigma_\Pi(g) = 0$ if there exists $\gamma \in \Pi$ so that $|\langle \zeta \rangle|$ does not divide $\sum_{i=1}^n n_i l_i(\gamma)$, and $|\Pi|$ otherwise. (Of course, we can also apply the above result directly to $g = \prod_i K_i^{n_i}$.)

Remark 2.3. From the above proposition, finding out if $g \in G_\Pi(H)$ is an important step. However, since $\beta(g) = 1 \forall \beta \in [\Pi, \Pi]$, hence it suffices to compute if $\lambda(g) = 1$, with λ 's the lifts of a set of generators of the (finite) abelian group $\Pi/[\Pi, \Pi]$. We will see more on this in Section 4 below.

2.3. Application to quantum groups and related examples. We now mention some more examples where the above result applies - Hopf algebras that quantize semisimple Lie algebras, their Borel subalgebras, and polynomial algebras (i.e. coordinate rings of affine spaces/abelian Lie algebras).

Suppose a group G acts semisimply on a vector space (or in our case, a free R -module) V . Thus, $V = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \Gamma_G} V_\nu$, where Γ_G is the set of weights (linear characters) of G (a distinguished element of Γ_G is $\varepsilon : G \rightarrow 1 \in R^\times$).

All our computations here are a consequence of the following

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose an R -algebra H contains G, V with relations $gv g^{-1} = g(v)$ (action as above), and $\mu \in \Gamma$ (i.e. $\mu : H \rightarrow R$ is an R -algebra map). If $\nu \neq \varepsilon$ in Γ_G , then $\mu \equiv 0$ on V_ν .*

Proof. Given $\nu \neq \varepsilon$, there is some g so that $\nu(g) \neq \varepsilon(g) = 1$, so given any nonzero $v \in V_\nu$, we have

$$\mu(g)\mu(v) = \mu(gv) = \mu(g(v)g) = \mu(\nu(g)vg) = \nu(g)\mu(v)\mu(g)$$

Thus $\mu(v) \cdot \mu(g)(1 - \nu(g)) = 0$, and we are done. $\square$

Applying this easily yields the following result, which also holds in a more general setting; see Proposition 9.1 below.

Proposition 2.2. *(G, V as above.) Suppose an R -algebra H contains G and V , and $V = \bigoplus_{\nu \neq \varepsilon} V_\nu$.*

- (1) *Every $\mu \in \Gamma$ kills HVH .*
- (2) *If $H \subset RG + HVH$, then $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_G$.*
- (3) *Say $H \subset RG + HVH$, and $\Pi \subset \Gamma$ is a finite subgroup of weights of G (from above). If $h \in H$ satisfies $h - \sum_{g \in G} a_g g \in HVH$ (where $a_g \in R \forall g$), then $\Sigma_\Pi(h) = |\Pi| \sum_{g \in G_\Pi(H)} a_g$.*

Thus, if $\Pi \subset \Gamma_H$ is a group (i.e. a subset with a group structure on it), then $\Sigma_\Pi(HVH) = 0$. Hence, computing Σ_Π at any $h \in H$ essentially reduces to the grouplike case - and Proposition 2.1 above tells us the answer in this case - assuming that the group operation in Π agrees with the one in Γ_G .

Moreover, even though H is not a Hopf algebra here, we see that the computations come from Hopf algebra calculations (for RG).

Proof. The first part follows from Lemma 2.2, the third part now follows from Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, and the second part follows by observing that $\mu : H \rightarrow R$ is an algebra map only if $\mu|_G \in \Gamma_G$ and $\mu|_V \equiv 0$. (Additional relations in H may prevent every $\mu \in \Gamma_G$ from being a weight in Γ .) $\square$

We now apply the above theory to some examples; note that they are not always Hopf algebras. In each case, G is of the form $\mathfrak{G}_{n,l}$ for some n, l . We also choose some special element $q \in R^\times$ in each case; l is then some multiple of $\text{char}(q)$.

Example 2 (“Restricted” quantum groups of semisimple Lie algebras). For this example, $R = k$ is a field with $\text{char } k \neq 2$, with a special element $q \neq 0, \pm 1$. Suppose $\mathfrak{g}$ is a semisimple Lie algebra over k , together with a fixed Cartan subalgebra and root space decomposition (e.g. using a Chevalley basis, cf. [H, Chapter 7]).

One then defines the (Hopf) algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ as in [Ja, §4.2,4.3]. In particular, we only note here that it is generated by $\{K_j^{\pm 1}, e_j, f_j : 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ (here, n is the rank of $\mathfrak{g}$, and we have the simple roots α_i), modulo the relations:

$$K_i e_j K_i^{-1} = q^{(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)} e_j, \quad K_i f_j K_i^{-1} = q^{-(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)} f_j, \quad e_i f_j - f_j e_i = \delta_{ij} \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}$$

where $q_i = q^{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)/2}$ for some bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $\mathfrak{h}^*$. We may also need that q^4 or q^6 is not 1, and maybe $\text{char } k \neq 3$. The other relations are that $K_i K_j = K_j K_i$, $K_i^{\pm 1} K_i^{\mp 1} = 1$, and the (two) quantum Serre relations. Define $V := \bigoplus_{j=1}^n (k e_j \oplus k f_j)$.

We define the “restricted” quantum group now, cf. [Maj, Chapter 6]. Given some fixed $l \geq 0$ so that $q^l = 1$ (whence $\text{char}(q) | l$), define the associative (not necessarily Hopf) algebra $u_{q,l}(\mathfrak{g})$ to be the quotient of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ by the relations (for all j) $K_j^l = 1$, and $e_j^l = f_j^l = 0$ if $l > 0$. Note that $u_{q,l}(\mathfrak{g}) = U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ if $l = 0$, and $u_{q,l}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a Hopf algebra if $l = 0$ or $\text{char}(q) | l$. Moreover, Proposition 2.2 allows us to compute Σ_Π for all l .

For each j , note that e_j, f_j are weight vectors (with respect to the adjoint action of the abelian group $G = \mathfrak{G}_{n,l}$ generated by all $K_i^{\pm 1}$) with weights $q^{\pm \alpha_j} \neq \varepsilon = q^0$. Hence Lemma 2.2 implies that $\mu(e_j) = \mu(f_j) = 0 \forall j, \mu$. Moreover, given the PBW property for $H = U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, we know that $H = k\mathfrak{G}_{n,l} \bigoplus (V_- H + H V_+)$, where V_+, V_- are the spans of the e_j ’s and f_j ’s respectively. Hence $\mu \in \Gamma \subset \Gamma_G$ by the result above.

Every $\mu \in \Gamma_G$ is compatible with the commuting of the K_i ’s, the quantum Serre relations (since $\mu|_V \equiv 0$), and the “ l^{th} power relations”. The only restriction is the last one left, namely: $0 = \mu([e_i, f_i])$, which gives us that $\mu(K_i) = \mu(K_i^{-1})$ for all i, μ . Hence $\mu(K_i) = \pm 1$, so that $\Gamma \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n \cap (\sqrt[l]{1})^n$, which is of size 2^n or 1, depending on whether l is even or odd. We now compute $\Sigma_\Pi(h)$ using the second part of Proposition 2.2, for any $h \in H$.

Example 3 (Restricted quantum groups of Borel subalgebras). We consider the subalgebra $H' = u_{q,l}(\mathfrak{b})$ of $H = u_{q,l}(\mathfrak{g})$, that is generated by $K_i^{\pm 1}, e_i$; once again, this algebra quantizes the Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ if $l = 0$ (and is a Hopf algebra if $l = 0$ or $\text{char}(q) | l$). Moreover, every $\mu \in \Gamma_{H'}$ kills each e_i (where we use V_+ for V), and we have $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_G$, by Proposition 2.2 above. Moreover, all such maps $\mu \in \Gamma_G$ are now admissible (i.e. extend to the whole of H'), so $\Gamma = \Gamma_G \cong (\sqrt[l]{1})^n$.

If we look at a finite subgroup $\Pi \subset \Gamma$, then as above, we must have $\Pi \subset \mathfrak{G}_{n,l}^*$ (note that $R = k$ here), and furthermore, evaluation of Σ_Π once again reduces to the grouplike case.

Example 4 (Quantization of affine space). We refer to [Hu2]; once again, R is a unital commutative integral domain. The quantum affine space over R (with a fixed element $q \in R^\times$) is the quadratic algebra $T_R(V)/(x_j x_i - q x_i x_j, i < j)$, where $V := \bigoplus_i R x_i$. This does not have a Hopf algebra structure; however, Hu does present a quantization of $R[x] := \text{Sym}_R(V)$ in [Hu2, §5] - that is, the quantum group associated to a “finite-dimensional” abelian Lie algebra. We consider a more general associative (not necessarily Hopf) R -algebra $\mathcal{A}_{q,l}(n)$ generated by $\{K_i^{\pm 1}, x_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, with the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} K_i K_j &= K_j K_i, & K_i^l &= 1, & K_i^{\pm 1} K_i^{\mp 1} &= 1, \\ K_i x_j K_i^{-1} &= \theta_{ij} q^{\delta_{ij}} x_j, & x_i x_j &= \theta_{ij} x_j x_i \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{char}(q)|l$, and θ_{ij} equals q (respectively $1, q^{-1}$) if $i > j$ (respectively $i = j, i < j$). Note that $\mathcal{A}_{q, \text{char}(q)}(n) = \mathcal{A}_q(n)$, the Hopf algebra introduced and studied by Hu, and $\mathcal{A}_{q,l}(n)$ becomes the Hopf algebra $R[x]$ if $q = l = 1$.

We consider the “nontrivial” case $q \neq 1$ (we will consider the $q = 1$ case later below). Once again, each x_j is a weight vector with respect to the (free abelian) group $G = \mathfrak{S}_{n,l}$, and no x_j is in the ε -weight space, so every $\mu \in \Gamma$ kills x_j for all j . As in the previous example, $\Gamma \cong (\sqrt[l]{1})^n$, and any finite subgroup Π must be contained in $\mathfrak{S}_{n,l}^*$.

Moreover, evaluation of Σ_Π once again reduces to the grouplike case.

Example 5 (Quantization of the Virasoro algebra). For this example, we will assume that R is a field, and $q \in R^\times$ is not a root of unity. We refer to [Hu1, Page 100] for the definitions; the Hopf algebra in question is the R -algebra $\mathcal{U}_q$ generated by $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{-1}, c, e_m (m \in \mathbb{Z})$ with relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T} \mathcal{T}^{-1} &= \mathcal{T}^{-1} \mathcal{T} = 1, \\ q^{2m} \mathcal{T}^m c &= c \mathcal{T}^m, \\ \mathcal{T}^m e_n &= q^{-2(n+1)m} e_n \mathcal{T}^m, \\ q^{2m} e_m c &= c e_m \\ q^{m-n} e_m e_n - q^{n-m} e_n e_m &= [m-n] e_{m+n} + \delta_{m+n,0} \frac{[m-1][m][m+1]}{[2][3]\langle m \rangle} c \end{aligned}$$

where $[m] := \frac{q^m - q^{-m}}{q - q^{-1}}$ and $\langle m \rangle := q^m + q^{-m}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We now compute the group of weights, as well as $\Sigma_\Pi(h)$ for any monomial word h in the above alphabet.

Proposition 2.3. *Setup as above.*

- (1) *The group of weights is $\Gamma = (R^\times, \cdot)$ (so every finite subgroup Π is cyclic). A weight $r \in R^\times$ kills c and all e_n , and sends $\mathcal{T}$ to r .*
- (2) *$\Sigma_\Pi(h) = 0$ if the monomial word h contains c or any e_n . Moreover, $\Sigma_\Pi(\mathcal{T}^m) = 0$ unless $|\Pi|$ divides m , in which case $\Sigma_\Pi(\mathcal{T}^m) = |\Pi|$.*

Proof.

- (1) Given any weight μ , evaluating on the second relation suggests that $\mu(c) = 0$. Similarly, from the third relation, we get that $\mu(e_n) = 0$ if $n \neq -1$. Now choose $m = 1, n = -2$, and evaluate μ on the last relation. Then we get that $0 - 0 = [3]\mu(e_{-1})$, so each $\mu \in \Gamma$ kills c, e_n for all n . Finally, the first relation says that $\mu(\mathcal{T}) \in R^\times$.

Thus we now define $\mu_r \in \Gamma$, by sending $\mathcal{T}$ to $r \in R^\times$ and c, e_n to zero. This is easily seen to be compatible with all the defining relations, so the first part is proved.

- (2) The first statement is now clear. Next, if Π is not the trivial group, then Π is nontrivial and cyclic, and $\mathcal{T}^m \in G_\Pi(H)$ iff any generator λ of Π satisfies: $\lambda(\mathcal{T})^m = 1$. In other words, the order of λ (which equals $|\Pi|$) divides m . Now invoke Proposition 2.1 to finish the proof. $\square$

Example 6 (Quantum linear groups). For the definitions, we refer to [HaKr, §2]. The *quantum general* (resp. *special*) *linear group* $GL_q(n) = R_q[GL_n]$ (resp. $SL_q(n) = R_q[SL_n]$) is the localization of the algebra $\mathcal{B}$ (defined presently) at the central *quantum determinant*

$$\det_q := \sum_{\pi \in S_n} (-q)^{l(\pi)} \prod_{i=1}^n u_{i, \pi(i)}$$

(resp. the quotient of $\mathcal{B}$ by the relation $\det_q = 1$). Here, the algebra $\mathcal{B} = R_q[\mathfrak{gl}(n)]$ is generated by $\{u_{ij} : 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$, with relations

$$\begin{aligned} u_{ik}u_{il} &= qu_{il}u_{ik}, & u_{ik}u_{jk} &= qu_{jk}u_{ik} \\ u_{il}u_{jk} &= u_{jk}u_{il}, & u_{ik}u_{jl} - u_{jl}u_{ik} &= (q - q^{-1})u_{il}u_{jk} \end{aligned}$$

where $q \in R^\times$, and $i < j, k < l$.

As above, we work with the “nontrivial” case $q \neq \pm 1$. We now compute Γ in both cases. In either case, note that $\det_q \neq 0$. Given any permutation $\pi \in S_n$, suppose we have $i < j$ so that $\pi(i) > \pi(j)$. Then for any $\mu \in \Gamma$,

$$(q - q^{-1})\mu(u_{i, \pi(i)}u_{j, \pi(j)}) = \mu([u_{i, \pi(j)}, u_{j, \pi(i)}]) = 0$$

whence $\mu(u_{i, \pi(i)}u_{j, \pi(j)}) = 0$.

The only permutations for which this does not happen is $\{\pi \in S_n : i < j \Rightarrow \pi(i) < \pi(j)\} = \{\text{id}\}$. Hence $\mu(\det_q) = \prod_i \mu(u_{ii}) \neq 0$, whence no u_{ii} is killed by any μ . But now, for $i < l$, we have

$$\mu(u_{ii}u_{il}) = q\mu(u_{il}u_{ii}), \quad \mu(u_{ii}u_{li}) = q\mu(u_{li}u_{ii})$$

whence $\mu(u_{ij}) = 0$ for all $i \neq j, \mu \in \Gamma$. In particular, since $\Delta(u_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^n u_{ik} \otimes u_{kj}$ for (all i, j and) both $GL_q(n)$ and $SL_q(n)$, hence $\Gamma_{GL} \cong (R^\times)^n$, and $\Gamma_{SL} \cong (R^\times)^{n-1}$ (both under coordinate-wise multiplication), since $\mu(u_{nn}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \mu(u_{ii})^{-1}$ in the latter case.

Finally, computing Σ_Π now reduces to the above results and the first example (of the free group $G = \mathfrak{G}_{n,0}$). This is because we reduce any

$h \in GL_q(n)$ or $SL_q(n)$ to a sum of monomial words, and such a word is not killed by any μ if and only if there is no contribution from any $u_{il}, i \neq l$.

Example 7 (Finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebras). Assume that

- (1) $R = \overline{k(R)}$ is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.
- (2) H is a finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebra over R .
- (3) $G(H)$ is a (finite) abelian group of order coprime to 210.

Then by the Classification Theorem 0.1 of [AS], H is generated by $G(H)$ and some skew-primitive generators $\{x_i\}$, that satisfy $gx_i g^{-1} = \chi_i(g)x_i$ for all i and all $g \in G(H)$. Since $\chi_i \neq \varepsilon \forall i$ by [AS, Equation (0.1)], hence Proposition 2.2 above, once again reduces the computations in here, to the grouplike case.

3. SKEW-PRIMITIVE ELEMENTS

We now mention various generalizations of primitive elements.

Definition 3.1. An element $h \in H$ is *skew-primitive* if $\Delta(h) = g \otimes h + h \otimes g'$ for grouplike $g, g' \in G(H)$. Denote the set of such elements by $H_{g,g'}$. Then $g - g' \in H_{g,g'} \cap H_{g',g}$, and $H_{1,1} = H_{\text{prim}}$.

We say that h is *pseudo-primitive* (resp. *almost primitive*) with respect to Π if, moreover, $g^{-1}g' \in G_\Pi(H)$ (resp. $g, g' \in G_\Pi(H)$). In future, we will not specify Π because it is part of the given data.

Thus, note that

$$\{\text{skew-prim.}\} \supset \{\text{pseudo-prim.}\} \supset \{\text{almost prim.}\} \supset \{\text{prim.}\}$$

Lemma 3.1. Say $h \in H_{g,g'}$ as above.

- (1) The set $\{\gamma \in \Gamma : \gamma(h) = 0\}$ is a subgroup of Γ .
- (2) $\varepsilon(h) = 0$ and $S(h) = -g^{-1}h(g')^{-1} \in H_{(g')^{-1}, g^{-1}}$.
- (3) If g_0 is any grouplike element, then $g_0 h$ and $h g_0$ are also skew-primitive. We also have $g_0 - g_0^{-1} \in H_{g_0, g_0^{-1}} \cap H_{g_0^{-1}, g_0}$.
- (4) For all $n \geq 0$, we also have

$$\Delta^{(n)}(h) = \sum_{i=0}^n g^{\otimes i} \otimes h \otimes (g')^{\otimes (n-i)} \quad (2)$$

- (5) For any $\gamma \in \Pi$, we have $\gamma(g) \neq \gamma(g')$, or $\gamma(h) = 0$, or $\text{char}(R) | n_\gamma$.
- (6) h is pseudo-primitive if and only if $g^{-1}h, hg^{-1}, (g')^{-1}h, h(g')^{-1}$ are almost primitive. If $g_0 \in G(H)$ and h is pseudo-primitive, then so are $g_0 h$ and $h g_0$.

Proof. The first part is an easy verification. The second part follows from the statements

$$(\text{id} * \varepsilon)(h) := \mu(\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon)\Delta(h) = h, \quad (\text{id} * S)(h) := \mu(\text{id} \otimes S)\Delta(h) = \varepsilon(h)$$

The third, fourth and last parts are now easy to verify. For the fifth part, if $\gamma = \varepsilon$ then we know from above that $\varepsilon(h) = 0$. Otherwise, suppose that $\gamma \neq \varepsilon$ and $\gamma(g) = \gamma(g')$. Suppose γ has order n_γ in Π . We compute, using equation (2) above:

$$0 = \varepsilon(h) = \gamma^{*n_\gamma}(h) = n_\gamma \gamma(h) \gamma(g)^{n_\gamma-1}$$

and we are done since $\gamma(g)$ is a unit and R is an integral domain. $\square$

Our main result here is to compute $\Sigma_\Pi(g_1 h g_2)$ for any $g_1, g_2 \in G(H)$, or equivalently by the lemma above, $\Sigma_\Pi(h)$ for all skew-primitive h .

Equation (2) implies that if $\gamma \in \Gamma$, and $\gamma(g) \neq \gamma(g')$, then

$$\gamma^n(h) = \gamma^{\otimes n}(\Delta^{(n-1)}(h)) = \gamma(h) \cdot \frac{\gamma(g)^n - \gamma(g')^n}{\gamma(g) - \gamma(g')} \quad (3)$$

3.1. The main result. In all that follows below, we assume that h is skew-primitive, with $\Delta(h) = g \otimes h + h \otimes g'$.

Theorem 3.1. *A skew primitive $h \in H_{g,g'}$ satisfies at least one of the following three conditions:*

- (1) *If there is $\lambda \in \Pi$ so that $\lambda(g), \lambda(g') \neq 1$, then $\Sigma_\Pi(h) = 0$. If no such λ exists, then one of g, g' is in $G_\Pi(H)$.*
- (2) *Suppose only one of g, g' is in $G_\Pi(H)$, so that there exists $\lambda \in \Pi$ with exactly one of $\lambda(g), \lambda(g')$ equal to 1. Then we have $\Sigma_\Pi(h) = \frac{|\Pi| \lambda(h)}{1 - \lambda(gg')}$.*
- (3) *If $\lambda(gg') = 1$ for all $\lambda \in \Pi$, then $\Sigma_\Pi(h) = \sum_{\gamma \neq \varepsilon = \gamma^2} \gamma(h)$, and $2\Sigma_\Pi(h) = 0$.*

Remark 3.1.

- (1) Thus, the expression $\lambda(h)/(1 - \lambda(gg')) = \Sigma_\Pi(h) \in k(R)$ is independent of λ (as long as $\lambda(gg') \neq 1$), for such h . As the proof will indicate, we should really think of $1 - \lambda(gg')$ as $1 - \lambda(g)$ or $1 - \lambda(g')$ (depending on which of g' and g is in $G_\Pi(H)$).

Moreover, equation (3) implies, whenever $\gamma^n(g) \neq \gamma^n(g')$, that

$$\frac{\gamma^n(h)}{\gamma^n(g) - \gamma^n(g')} = \frac{\gamma(h)}{\gamma(g) - \gamma(g')}$$

Actually, both of these are manifestations of the following (easy) fact (recall that H^* is an algebra under convolution):

Lemma 3.2. *Given $h \in H_{g,g'}$, define $N_h := \{\gamma \in H^* : \gamma(g) \neq \gamma(g')\}$. Suppose $\mu, \lambda \in N_h$. Then $(\mu * \lambda)(h) = (\lambda * \mu)(h)$ if and only if $f_h(\mu) = f_h(\lambda)$, where $f_h : N_h \rightarrow k(R)$ is given by*

$$f_h(\gamma) := \frac{\gamma(h)}{\gamma(g) - \gamma(g')}$$

(In other words, weights commute at h precisely when they lie on the same “level surface” for f_h .)

- (2) Also note that if the first two parts fail to hold, then both $g, g' \in G_\Pi(H)$, and the final part holds. Thus, the above theorem computes $\Sigma_\Pi(h)$ for all skew-primitive h , if $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$ or not both of g, g' are in $G_\Pi(H)$. We address the case when $\text{char}(R) = 2$ and $g, g' \in G_\Pi(H)$, in the next subsection.
- (3) Using Proposition 2.1 in the previous subsection, several of the cases of the above theorem can be put into either of two equations. We thus state the “condensed” versions of the theorem, and remark on how they are reformulations of (parts of) the statement above.
Note that the second equation (5) below is in a nice “dual” or “symmetric” form.

Theorem 3.2 (Reformulations). *If $h \in H_{g,g'}$ and $\lambda \in \Pi$,*

$$(1 - \lambda(gg'))|\Pi||\langle\lambda\rangle|\Sigma_\Pi(h) = (|\langle\lambda\rangle| - \Sigma_{\langle\lambda\rangle}(gg'))\lambda(h)(\Sigma_\Pi(g) - \Sigma_\Pi(g'))^2 \quad (4)$$

and

$$(\lambda(g) - \lambda(g'))\Sigma_\Pi(h) = \lambda(h)(\Sigma_\Pi(g) - \Sigma_\Pi(g')) \quad (5)$$

Thus, if $g^{-1}g' \notin G_\Pi(H)$, or if $gg' \notin G_\Pi(H)$ and $\text{char}(R) \nmid |\Pi|$, then we can compute $\Sigma_\Pi(h)$ from one of these equations.

Proof of equation (5). We compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(g)\Sigma_\Pi(h) + \lambda(h)\Sigma_\Pi(g') &= \sum_{\nu \in \Pi} \lambda(g)\nu(h) + \lambda(h)\nu(g') = \sum_{\nu \in \Pi} (\lambda * \nu)(h) \\ &= \Sigma_\Pi(h) = \sum_{\nu \in \Pi} (\nu * \lambda)(h) = \cdots = \Sigma_\Pi(g)\lambda(h) + \Sigma_\Pi(h)\lambda(g') \end{aligned}$$

The equation now follows by rearranging the terms. $\square$

“Equivalence” of equation (4):

If $\lambda(gg') = 1$, then the first factor on the right side also vanishes, and there is no information gained. Next, the last factor on the right is always divisible by $|\Pi|$ by Proposition 2.1 above, so both sides vanish if $\text{char}(R)$ divides $|\Pi|$. Moreover, the third part of Theorem 3.1 above is mentioned in the reformulation, so we focus only on the first two parts, further assuming that $\lambda(gg') \neq 1$, and that $\text{char}(R) \nmid |\Pi|$.

For the first part, if neither g nor g' is in $G_\Pi(H)$, then the last factor on the right side vanishes by Proposition 2.1, whence $\Sigma_\Pi(h)$ must vanish too. For the second, since only one of g, g' is in $G_\Pi(H)$, the first and last factors on the right side equal $|\langle\lambda\rangle|, |\Pi|^2$ respectively, by Proposition 2.1. Thus,

$$(1 - \lambda(gg'))|\Pi||\langle\lambda\rangle| \cdot \Sigma_\Pi(h) = |\Pi|^2|\langle\lambda\rangle| \cdot \lambda(h)$$

which was the conclusion of the theorem above, since no term (except possibly the last terms on either side) vanishes. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3.1.

(1) We use equation (1) to prove the first part of this result:

$$\Sigma_{\Pi}(h) = (\lambda * \Sigma_{\Pi})(h) = \sum_{\nu \in \Pi} \lambda(g)\nu(h) + \lambda(h)\nu(g') = \lambda(g)\Sigma_{\Pi}(h) + \lambda(h)\Sigma_{\Pi}(g')$$

Since $g' \notin G_{\Pi}(H)$, hence the second term vanishes, and we are left with $(1 - \lambda(g))\Sigma_{\Pi}(h) = 0$. But $\lambda(g) \neq 1$.

Next, if no such $\lambda \in \Pi$ exists, then $\Pi = \Pi_g \cup \Pi_{g'}$, where $\Pi_g, \Pi_{g'}$ were defined before Proposition 2.1. We claim that one of the two sets is contained in the other, whence one of g, g' is in $G_{\Pi}(H)$. For if not, then choose $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Pi$ so that $\gamma(g), \gamma'(g') \neq 1$ (whence $\gamma(g') = 1 = \gamma'(g)$). Now look at $\gamma \cdot \gamma' = \gamma * \gamma'$:

$$\gamma\gamma'(g) = \gamma(g)\gamma'(g) = \gamma(g) \neq 1, \quad \gamma\gamma'(g') = \gamma(g')\gamma'(g') = \gamma'(g') \neq 1$$

and this is a contradiction. Thus one of $\Pi_g \setminus \Pi_{g'}$, $\Pi_{g'} \setminus \Pi_g$ is empty, and the claim is proved.

(2) Suppose $g' \in G_{\Pi}(H)$, $\lambda(g) \neq 1$ for some λ (the other case is similar). Then by equation (5) and Proposition 2.1 above,

$$\Sigma_{\Pi}(h) = \lambda(h) \frac{\Sigma_{\Pi}(g) - \Sigma_{\Pi}(g')}{\lambda(g) - \lambda(g')} = \lambda(h) \frac{0 - |\Pi|}{\lambda(g) \cdot 1 - 1} = \frac{\lambda(h)|\Pi|}{1 - \lambda(g)\lambda(g')}$$

as was claimed.

(3) If $\lambda(gg') = 1$ for any $\lambda \in \Gamma$, then we compute: $\lambda^{-1}(h) = -\lambda(h)$. Thus, if $\lambda \neq \lambda^{-1}$, then $\lambda + \lambda^{-1}$ kills h , and the first equation now follows because $\varepsilon(h) = 0$. The second is also easy:

$$2\Sigma_{\Pi}(h) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Pi} (\gamma(h) + \gamma^{-1}(h)) = 0$$

□

3.2. The characteristic 2 case. The only case that Theorem 3.1 does not address, is when $\text{char}(R) = 2$ and $g, g' \in G_{\Pi}(H)$. We now address this case.

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose Π is as above, $\text{char}(R) = 2$, and $h \in H_{g,g'}$ is almost primitive with respect to Π .*

- (1) *If Π has odd order, then $\Sigma_{\Pi}(h) = 0$.*
- (2) *If 4 divides $|\Pi|$, then $\Sigma_{\Pi}(h) = 0$.*
- (3) *If Π has even order but $4 \nmid |\Pi|$, then $\Sigma_{\Pi}(h) = \gamma(h)$ for any $\gamma \in \Pi$ of order exactly 2. This may assume any nonzero value in R .*

We omit the proof, since this result is a special case of a more general theorem in general (positive) characteristic, that we state and prove in a later section.

4. SUBGROUPS AND SUBQUOTIENTS OF GROUPS OF WEIGHTS

4.1. Subgroups associated to arbitrary elements. In this article, we focus on computing Σ_Π at any element h in a Hopf algebra H . However, we can also carry out the following constructions:

Definition 4.1. We work over R .

- (1) Suppose H is an R -algebra, so that $\Gamma = \Gamma_H$ has a group structure $*$ on it. Define Γ_h to be the *stabilizer subgroup* of h , given by

$$\Gamma_h := \{\gamma \in \Gamma : (\beta * \gamma * \delta)(h) = (\beta * \delta)(h) \ \forall \beta, \delta \in \Gamma\}$$

- (2) Given a coalgebra H , and $h \in H$, define C_h to be the R -subcoalgebra generated by h in H .
- (3) Given a Hopf algebra H , define Γ'_h to be the *fixed weight monoid* of h , given by

$$\Gamma'_h := \{\gamma \in \Gamma : \gamma|_{C_h} = \varepsilon|_{C_h}\}$$

In particular, $\gamma(h) = \varepsilon(h)$ if $\gamma \in \Gamma_h$.

We will talk of how this allows us to consider subquotients of Γ , in a later subsection; but first, we make some observations involving these subgroups.

Proposition 4.1.

- (1) For all h , Γ_h is a normal subgroup of Γ , and $\Gamma'_h \subset \Gamma_h$ is a monoid closed under Γ -conjugation.
- (2) Given $\{h_i : i \in I\} \subset H$, and $h \in \langle h_i \rangle$ (i.e. in the subalgebra generated by the h_i 's), $\Gamma_h \supset \bigcap_{i \in I} \Gamma_{h_i}$, and similarly for the Γ'_h s.
- (3) Given any $h_i \in H$ (finitely many), suppose $\Pi = \times_i \Pi_i$, with $\Pi_i \subset \Gamma'_{h_i}$ whenever $i \neq j$. Then

$$\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h}) = \prod_i \Sigma_{\Pi_i}(h_i)$$

Proof.

- (1) These are straightforward computations.
- (2) For all $\beta, \delta \in \Gamma$, $\gamma \in \bigcap_i \Gamma_{h_i}$, and polynomials p in the h_i 's, we have

$$(\beta * \gamma * \delta)(p(h_i)) = p((\beta * \gamma * \delta)(h_i)) = p((\beta * \delta)(h_i)) = (\beta * \delta)(p(h_i))$$

The outer equalities hold because weights are algebra maps.

The proof for the Γ' s is as follows: if $h = p(h_i)$ as above, then since Δ is multiplicative, hence any $h' \in C_h$ is expressible as a polynomial in elements $h'_j \in \cup_i C_{h_i}$ - say $h' = q(h'_j)$. We conclude that if $\gamma \in \Gamma'_{h_i}$ for all i , then

$$\gamma(q(h'_j)) = q(\gamma(h'_j)) = q(\varepsilon(h'_j)) = \varepsilon(q(h'_j))$$

where once again, the outer equalities hold because weights are algebra maps. In other words, $\gamma(h') = \varepsilon(h')$.

(3) Given $\gamma_i \in \Pi_i \forall i$, we compute (for each j):

$$\begin{aligned} (*_i \gamma_i)(h_j) &= \sum \gamma_1((h_j)_{(1)}) \gamma_2((h_j)_{(2)}) \cdots \gamma_n((h_j)_{(n)}) \\ &= \sum \gamma_j((h_j)_{(j)}) \prod_{i \neq j} \varepsilon((h_j)_{(i)}) = \gamma_j(h_j) \end{aligned}$$

so that we have

$$\Sigma_{\Pi}(h) = \sum_{(\gamma_i)_{i \in \Pi}} (*_i \gamma_i)(\mathbf{h}) = \sum_{(\gamma_i)_{i \in \Pi}} \prod_i \gamma_i(h_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n \sum_{\gamma_i \in \Pi_i} \gamma_i(h_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n \Sigma_{\Pi_i}(h_i)$$

□

We also mention two examples.

Lemma 4.1. *If $g \in G(H)$, then this definition of Γ_g coincides with the previous one: $\Gamma_g = \Gamma'_g = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : \gamma(g) = 1\}$. If $h \in H_{g,g'}$, then $\Gamma_h \subset \Gamma_g \cap \Gamma_{g'}$, or $\Gamma_h = \Gamma$. In both cases, $\Gamma'_h = \Gamma_h \cap \Gamma_g \cap \Gamma_{g'}$.*

Proof. The first statement is easy to show. Now if $h \in H_{g,g'}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma_h$, then $\gamma(h) = \varepsilon(h) = 0$, whence the definition of Γ_h also gives us that

$$\beta(h)\gamma(g) = \beta(h) = \beta(h)\gamma(g') \quad \forall \beta \in \Gamma, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma_h$$

Therefore either $\beta(h) = 0$ for all β - whence we get that $\Gamma_h = \Gamma$ - or some $\beta(h) \neq 0$, whence $\gamma(g) = \gamma(g') = 1$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_h$, as claimed. It is now easy (in both cases) to compute Γ'_h . □

4.2. Subquotients. We now compute $\Sigma_{\Pi}(h)$ for more general Π : given $h \in H$, choose any subgroup Γ' of Γ_h that is normal in Γ , and consider any finite subgroup Π of Γ/Γ' . We now choose any lift $\tilde{\Pi}$ of Π to Γ , and define

$$\Sigma_{\Pi}(h) := \sum_{\gamma'' \in \tilde{\Pi}} \gamma''(h), \quad \Pi^{\circ} := \{\gamma \in \Gamma : (\gamma + \Gamma') \in \Pi \subset \Gamma/\Gamma'\}$$

The following result will be used later.

Lemma 4.2. *Setup (i.e. $h, \Gamma_h, \Gamma', \Pi, \Pi^{\circ}$) as above.*

- (1) $\Sigma_{\Pi}(h)$ is well-defined.
- (2) If a subgroup $\Gamma'' \subset \Gamma_h$ is normal in Π° (e.g. $\Gamma'' = \Gamma'$ or $\Gamma_h \cap \Pi^{\circ}$), then

$$\Sigma_{\Pi^{\circ}}(h) = |\Gamma''| \Sigma_{\Pi^{\circ}/\Gamma''}(h)$$

Proof. For the first part, choose any other lift Π' of Π . If $\gamma' \in \Pi', \gamma'' \in \tilde{\Pi}$ are lifts of $\gamma \in \Pi$, then $(\gamma')^{-1} * \gamma'' \in \Gamma_h$, so

$$\sum_{\gamma'' \in \tilde{\Pi}} \gamma''(h) = \sum_{\gamma' \in \Pi'} (\gamma' * ((\gamma')^{-1} * \gamma''))(h) = \sum_{\gamma' \in \Pi'} \gamma'(h)$$

by definition of Γ_h . The other part is also easy, since by the first part, $\Sigma_{\Pi^*}(h)$ is also well-defined, where the finite group is $\Pi^* := \Pi^\circ/\Gamma''$. If Π^{**} is any lift to Π° of Π^* , then

$$\Sigma_{\Pi^\circ}(h) = \sum_{\gamma' \in \Pi^{**}, \beta \in \Gamma''} (\gamma' * \beta)(h) = \sum_{\gamma' \in \Pi^{**}, \beta \in \Gamma''} \gamma'(h) = |\Gamma''| \sum_{\gamma' \in \Pi^{**}} \gamma'(h)$$

and this equals the desired amount. $\square$

While a special case of the second part is that $\Sigma_{\Pi^\circ}(h) = |\Gamma'| \Sigma_\Pi(h)$, we will really use the result when Γ' is itself finite, and we replace Π° by Π . The equation is then used to compute $\Sigma_\Pi(h)$.

4.3. Pseudo-primitive elements. For the rest of this paper, H is an R -Hopf algebra, unless otherwise specified. Now if h is grouplike or (pseudo-)primitive, then it is easy to see that $\Sigma_\Pi(h) = |[\Pi, \Pi]| \Sigma_{\Pi_{ab}}(h)$, where $\Pi_{ab} := \Pi/[\Pi, \Pi]$ (we show the pseudo-primitive case presently). Thus, in the grouplike case, the question of whether or not $h \in G_\Pi(H)$ reduces to evaluating (any lift of a set of) generators of (the finite abelian group) Π_{ab} , at h .

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose $h \in H_{g,g'}$ is pseudo-primitive with respect to Π .*

- (1) *Then $(\gamma * \nu)(h) = (\nu * \gamma)(h) = \nu(g)\gamma(h) + \gamma(g)\nu(h)$ for all $\gamma, \nu \in \Pi$.*
- (2) *$\Gamma'_h \supset [\Pi, \Pi]$.*
- (3) *For any $m \geq 0$, we have $\gamma^{*m}(h) = m\gamma(g)^{m-1}\gamma(h)$ if $\gamma \in \Pi$. In particular, if $\text{char}(R) = p$ is prime, then $\gamma^{*p}(h) = 0 \forall \gamma \in \Pi$.*

Proof. The first and last parts are by definition and induction respectively. As for the second part, one shows the following computation for any skew-primitive $h \in H_{g,g'}$, and $\beta, \beta' \in \Gamma_H$:

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta * \beta' * \beta^{-1} * (\beta')^{-1})(h) &= \beta(h(g')^{-1})(1 - \beta'(g(g')^{-1})) \\ &\quad + \beta'(h(g')^{-1})(\beta(g(g')^{-1}) - 1) \end{aligned}$$

using Lemma 3.1. Since h is pseudo-primitive with respect to Π , hence this shows that every generator (and hence element) λ of $[\Pi, \Pi]$ satisfies: $\lambda(g) = \lambda(g') = 1$ and $\lambda(h) = 0$. Since $C_h = Rh + Rg + Rg'$, hence these imply that $\lambda \in \Gamma'_h$. $\square$

An easy consequence of Propositions 4.1 and 4.2 is

Corollary 4.1. *If $h \in H$ is (in the subalgebra) generated by grouplike and pseudo-primitive elements (with respect to Π), then $\Gamma'_h \supset [\Pi, \Pi]$.*

Also note that given some $h \in H$, we can compute $\Sigma_\Pi(h)$ for more general Π , and hence the results in this paper can be generalised; however, we shall stick to our original setup when $\Pi \subset \Gamma$ (i.e. $\Gamma' = \{\varepsilon\}$). We need to note this (general) case, though, because we shall use it below.

We conclude by specifying more precisely, what we mean by $\Sigma_\Pi(h_1 \dots h_n)$ for “pseudo-primitive” h_i ’s, when Π is a subquotient of Γ as above. Thus,

we start with some skew-primitive h_i 's, then let Π be a finite subgroup of Γ/Γ' , for some subgroup $\Gamma' \subset \cap_i \Gamma_{h_i}$ that is normal in Γ . Moreover, if $\Delta(h_i) = g_i \otimes h_i + h_i \otimes g'_i$, then we further assume that $\gamma(g_i) = \gamma(g'_i)$, for all elements γ of the subgroup Π° (defined above).

This is what we mean in the case of general Π , when we say that $g_i, g'_i \in G_\Pi(H)$ - i.e. that the h_i 's are pseudo-primitive (with respect to Π). Similarly, in saying that the h_i 's are almost primitive with respect to Π , we mean that $\gamma(g_i) = \gamma(g'_i) = 1 \ \forall \gamma \in \Pi_0$.

5. PRODUCTS OF SKEW-PRIMITIVE ELEMENTS

We now mention some results on (finite) products of skew-primitive elements and grouplike elements. From now on, Π denotes a finite subgroup of Γ and not a general subquotient; however, in the next section, we will need to use a subquotient Φ of this Π .

Since the set of skew-primitive elements is closed under multiplication by grouplike elements, any “monomial” in them can be expressed in the form $\mathbf{h} = \prod_i h_i$. The related “grouplike” elements that would figure in the computations, are $\mathbf{g} = \prod_i g_i$ and $\mathbf{g}' = \prod_i g'_i$.

Standing Assumption 5.1. For this section and the next two, we assume that $h_i \in H_{g_i, g'_i}$ for all (finitely many) i .

We first mention some results that hold in general.

Proposition 5.1. *If $\lambda(h_i) = 0 \ \forall i$ for some $\lambda \in \Pi$, and (at least) one of $\lambda(\mathbf{g}), \lambda(\mathbf{g}')$ is not 1, then $\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h}) = 0$.*

Proof. If $\lambda(h_i) = 0$ then $\lambda^m(h_i) = 0$ for all i, m . We now choose a set $\mathcal{B}$ of coset representatives for $\langle \lambda \rangle$ in Π , and assume that $\lambda(\mathbf{g}') \neq 1$ (the other case is similar). Then we compute:

$$\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h}) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}, \gamma \in \langle \lambda \rangle} \prod_i (\beta(g_i)\gamma(h_i) + \beta(h_i)\gamma(g'_i))$$

Since $\gamma(h_i) = 0$ for all $\gamma \in \langle \lambda \rangle$ and all i , hence the entire product in the summand collapses, to give

$$= \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} \beta(\prod_i h_i) \cdot \Sigma_{\langle \lambda \rangle}(\prod_i g'_i)$$

and the second factor vanishes by our assumption (and Proposition 2.1). $\square$

Next, if we know $\Sigma_\Pi(\prod_{i=1}^n h_i)$, for all skew-primitive h_i 's, then we can evaluate the product of $(n+1)$ such h 's in some cases. The following result relates Σ_Π -values of strings to the Σ_Π -values of proper substrings (with skew-primitive “letters”), that are “corrected” by grouplike elements. The proof is that both equations below follow by evaluating equation (1) at $\mathbf{h}$.

Proposition 5.2. *Suppose one of $\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{g}'$ is not in $G_\Pi(H)$. Thus, if for some $\lambda \in \Pi$, we have $\lambda(\mathbf{g}) \neq 1$, or respectively $\lambda(\mathbf{g}') \neq 1$, then*

$$\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h}) = \frac{\sum_{\nu \in \Pi} \prod_i (\lambda(g_i) \nu(h_i) + \lambda(h_i) \nu(g'_i)) - \lambda(\mathbf{g}) \Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h})}{1 - \lambda(\mathbf{g})}$$

or respectively,

$$\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h}) = \frac{\sum_{\nu \in \Pi} \prod_i (\nu(g_i) \lambda(h_i) + \nu(h_i) \lambda(g'_i)) - \Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h}) \lambda(\mathbf{g}')}{1 - \lambda(\mathbf{g}')}$$

Note, here, that both numerators on the right side have an $\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h})$ in them, which cancels the only such term present in the summations. Thus, what we are left with in either case, are linear combinations of Σ_Π -values of “corrected” proper substrings, with coefficients of the form $\lambda(\prod g_j h_k)$.

Also note that if we knew the Σ_Π -values of all “corrected” proper substrings, and $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$, then the two propositions, one above and one below, can be used, for instance, to compute Σ_Π at all monomials of odd length (in skew-primitive elements).

The statement and proof of the following result are essentially the same as those of the last part of Theorem 3.1 above.

Proposition 5.3. *Suppose $\mathbf{g}\mathbf{g}' \in G_\Pi(H)$, and $\text{char}(R) = 2$ if the number of h_i 's is even. Then $\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h}) = \sum_{\gamma \neq \varepsilon = \gamma^2} \gamma(\mathbf{h})$, and $2\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h}) = 0$.*

This is because we once again get that $\lambda^{-1}(\mathbf{h}) = -\lambda(\mathbf{h}) \forall \lambda$.

The next result in this subsection is true for almost all values of $\text{char } R$. The proof is immediate from the penultimate part of Lemma 3.1 above.

Proposition 5.4. *If $\text{char}(R) \nmid |\Pi|$ and $g_i^{-1}g'_i \in G_\Pi(H)$ (i.e. h_i is pseudo-primitive) for some i , then $\gamma(h_i) = 0 \forall \gamma \in \Pi$. In particular, $\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h}) = 0$.*

We conclude this section with one last result - in characteristic p .

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose $\text{char}(R) = p > 0$, and $h_i \in H_{g_i, g'_i}$ for all i . Choose and fix a p -Sylow subgroup Π_p of Π .*

- (1) *Then each h_i is almost primitive with respect to Π_p .*
- (2) *If Π_p contains an element of order p^2 , then $\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h}) = \Sigma_{\Pi_p}(\mathbf{h}) = 0$.*

We will also see later, that $\Sigma_{\Pi_p}(\mathbf{h}) = 0$ if $\Pi_p \not\cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^k$ for any $k > 0$.

Proof.

- (1) If $z^p = 1$ in R , then $(1 - z)^p = 1 - z^p \pmod{p} = 0$ in R . Since R is an integral domain, $z = 1$. Now assume that $|\Pi_p| = p^f$. Thus for each $\gamma \in \Pi_p$, we have $\gamma^{*p^f} = \varepsilon$, whence $\gamma(g_i)^{p^f} = \gamma(g'_i)^{p^f} = 1$ for all i . Successively set $z = \gamma(g_i)^{p^t}$, for $t = f - 1, f - 2, \dots, 1, 0$. Hence $\gamma(g_i) = 1$ for all i ; the other case is the same.

- (2) Next, if λ has order p^2 , then choose a set $\mathcal{B}$ of coset representatives for $\langle \lambda \rangle$ in Π , and compute using Proposition 4.2 above (since all h_i 's are pseudo/almost primitive with respect to Π_p , hence for $\langle \lambda \rangle$):

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_{\Pi}(\mathbf{h}) &= \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} \sum_{j=0}^{p^2-1} \prod_{i=1}^n (\beta * \lambda^{*j})(h_i) \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} \sum_{j=0}^{p^2-1} \prod_{i=1}^n (\beta(h_i) \lambda^j(g_i) + j \beta(g_i) \lambda(g_i)^{j-1} \lambda(h_i))\end{aligned}$$

Call the factor in the product a_{ij} (it really is $a_{\beta, i, j}$). Then we observe that $a_{i, p+j} = \lambda^p(g_i) a_{ij}$ for all i, j , whence $a_{i, kp+j} = \lambda^{kp}(g_i) a_{ij}$. Therefore for any $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$, the summand in the above equation is

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p^2-1} \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i, j} = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i, kp+j} = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \lambda(\mathbf{g})^{kp} \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i, j}$$

Finally, observe that the sum over k yields $\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \ell^k$, where $\ell = \lambda(\mathbf{g})^p = 1$ by the previous part. Thus the sum is $p = 0$, whence every β -summand above vanishes, and we are done. $\square$

6. SPECIAL CASE - ABELIAN GROUP OF WEIGHTS

In this section, we focus on the special case where the group Π of weights (that we sum over) is abelian. We then evaluate $\Sigma_{\Pi}(\mathbf{h})$ as above.

Definition 6.1.

- (1) For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.
- (2) Given $I \subset [n]$, define $g_I := \prod_{i \in I} g_i$, and similarly define g'_I, h_I .
- (3) Define Π_p to be any fixed p -Sylow subgroup of Π if $\text{char}(R) = p > 0$, and $\{\varepsilon\}$ otherwise. Also choose and fix a “complementary” subgroup Π' to Π_p in Π (if Π is abelian), i.e. $|\Pi_p| \cdot |\Pi'| = |\Pi|$. (And if $\text{char}(R) = 0$, set $\Pi' := \Pi$.)

We present two results here. The first is (nontrivial only) when $\text{char}(R)$ divides the order of Π , and the second (which really is the main result) is when it does not.

Theorem 6.1. *Suppose Π is abelian; let Π_p, Π' be as above. Let $J \subset [n]$ be the set of i 's such that h_i is pseudo-primitive with respect to Π . Then*

$$\Sigma_{\Pi}(\mathbf{h}) = \Sigma_{\Pi'}(g_J h_{[n] \setminus J}) \cdot \Sigma_{\Pi_p}(h_J)$$

(Thus, if $\text{char}(R) = 0$, or $0 < \text{char}(R) \nmid |\Pi|$, then $\Sigma_{\Pi_p}(h_J) = \varepsilon(h_J) = 0$, whence $\Sigma_{\Pi}(\mathbf{h}) = 0$ too.)

Proof. First note that $\lambda(g_i) = \lambda(g'_i) = 1$ for all $\lambda \in \Pi_p$ and all i , by Theorem 5.1 above. Now, since Π is abelian, every $\gamma \in \Pi$ is uniquely expressible as $\gamma = \beta * \lambda$ with $\beta \in \Pi'$, $\lambda \in \Pi_p$. We thus compute $\gamma(h_i)$ in both cases: $i \in J$ and $i \notin J$.

Let us first consider the case when $i \in J$. Then $\beta(h_i) = 0$ for all $\beta \in \Pi'$, by Proposition 5.4. Thus,

$$\gamma(h_i) = \beta(g_i)\lambda(h_i) + \beta(h_i)\lambda(g_i) = \beta(g_i)\lambda(h_i)$$

Now suppose $i \notin J$. Choose $\gamma \in \Pi$ so that $\gamma(g_i) \neq \gamma(g'_i)$. Then

$$(\gamma * \lambda)(h_i) = (\lambda * \gamma)(h_i)$$

which leads (upon simplifying) to

$$\lambda(h_i)(\gamma(g_i) - \gamma(g'_i)) = \gamma(h_i)(\lambda(g_i) - \lambda(g'_i))$$

But $\lambda(g_i) = \lambda(g'_i)$, and $\gamma(g_i) \neq \gamma(g'_i)$, so $\lambda(h_i) = 0$ for all $\lambda \in \Pi_p$. Hence we finally compute:

$$\gamma(h_i) = (\beta * \lambda)(h_i) = \beta(g_i)\lambda(h_i) + \beta(h_i)\lambda(g_i) = \beta(h_i)$$

We are now ready to complete the proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{\Pi}(\mathbf{h}) &= \sum_{\beta \in \Pi', \lambda \in \Pi_p} \prod_{i \in J} \beta(g_i)\lambda(h_i) \cdot \prod_{i \notin J} \beta(h_i) \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in \Pi', \lambda \in \Pi_p} \beta(g_J h_{[n] \setminus J}) \lambda(h_J) = \Sigma_{\Pi'}(g_J h_{[n] \setminus J}) \cdot \Sigma_{\Pi_p}(h_J) \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. $\square$

We compute $\Sigma_{\Pi_p}(\mathbf{h})$ in a later section. For now, we mention how to compute the other factor. For this, we need Lemma 3.2 above.

Theorem 6.2. *Suppose $\text{char}(R) \nmid |\Pi|$, and no h_i is pseudo-primitive with respect to (the abelian group of weights) Π . For each i , let $f_i \in k(R)$ denote $\beta(h_i)/(\beta(g_i) - \beta(g'_i))$ for some $\beta \in N_{h_i} \cap \Pi$. Also define*

$$S = \{I \subset [n] : g_I g'_{[n] \setminus I} \in G_{\Pi}(H)\}$$

Then

$$\Sigma_{\Pi}(\mathbf{h}) = (-1)^n |\Pi| \prod_{i=1}^n f_i \cdot \sum_{I \in S} (-1)^{|I|}$$

Note that if $n = 1$, this is a special case of the first two parts of Theorem 3.1 above. Moreover, if some h_i is pseudo-primitive with respect to Π , then $\Sigma_{\Pi}(\mathbf{h}) = 0$ from Proposition 5.4 above.

Proof. Let us fix some generators $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k$ of Π (by the structure theory of finite abelian groups), so that $\Pi = \bigoplus_{j=1}^k \mathbb{Z}\beta_j$. Then (by assumption,) for each i there is at least one j so that $\beta_j(g_i) \neq \beta_j(g'_i)^{-1}$.

Let us now fix i , and compute $\beta(h_i)$ for arbitrary $\beta \in \Pi$. Suppose N'_i indexes the set of β_j 's that are in N_{h_i} ; then we can write $\beta = \beta' + \sum_{j \in N'_i} r_j \beta_j$ for some $r_j \geq 0$ and $\beta' \in \bigoplus_{j \notin N'_i} \mathbb{Z} \beta_j$.

We first note by Proposition 5.4 for $\Pi \leftrightarrow \bigoplus_{j \notin N'_i} \mathbb{Z} \beta_j$ that $\beta'(h_i) = 0$. Next, Lemma 3.2 implies that

$$\frac{\beta_j(h_i)}{\beta_j(g_i) - \beta_j(g'_i)} = \frac{\beta_k(h_i)}{\beta_k(g_i) - \beta_k(g'_i)} \quad \forall j \neq k \in N'_i$$

We will need these facts in computing $\beta(h_i)$ now. We therefore set $\beta'' = (\beta')^{-1} \beta$, and compute:

$$\beta(h_i) = \beta'(g_i) \beta''(h_i) + \beta'(h_i) \beta''(g_i) = \beta'(g_i) \left(\sum_{j \in N'_i} r_j \beta_j \right) (h_i)$$

It thus remains to compute the last factor above. We will show the following claim below, first using it to finish the proof.

Claim. Say $\beta'' = \sum_{j \in N'_i} r_j \beta_j$. Then $\beta''(h_i) = (\beta''(g_i) - \beta''(g'_i)) f_i$, where f_i is defined in the statement of the theorem.

Proof of the theorem, modulo the claim. By the claim, we therefore get that

$$\beta(h_i) = \beta'(g_i) (\beta''(g_i) - \beta''(g'_i)) f_i = (\beta(g_i) - \beta(g'_i)) f_i$$

(for all i) since $\beta'(g_i) = \beta'(g'_i)$ by pseudo-primitivity. Using the notation that $\beta_{\mathbf{r}} = \sum_{j=1}^k r_j \beta_j \in \Pi$, we now compute $\Sigma_{\Pi}(\mathbf{h})$ to be

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \prod_{i=1}^n (\beta_{\mathbf{r}}(g_i) - \beta_{\mathbf{r}}(g'_i)) f_i = \prod_{i=1}^n f_i \cdot \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \sum_{I \subset [n]} (-1)^{n-|I|} \beta_{\mathbf{r}} \left(\prod_{i \in I} g_i \prod_{j \notin I} g'_j \right) \\ &= (-1)^n \prod_{i=1}^n f_i \cdot \sum_{I \subset [n]} (-1)^{-|I|} \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \beta_{\mathbf{r}}(g_I g'_{[n] \setminus I}) \\ &= (-1)^n \prod_{i=1}^n f_i \cdot \sum_{I \subset [n]} (-1)^{|I|} \Sigma_{\Pi}(g_I g'_{[n] \setminus I}) = (-1)^n \prod_{i=1}^n f_i \cdot \sum_{I \subset [n]} (-1)^{|I|} \delta_{I \in S} |\Pi| \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 2.1, where the last δ is 1 if $I \in S$, and 0 otherwise. $\square$

To complete the proof, we now show the claim.

Proof of the claim. By equation (3), we see that for all $j \in N'_i$, we have

$$\beta_j^{*r_j}(h_i) = (\beta_j(g_i)^{r_j} - \beta_j(g'_i)^{r_j}) f_i$$

Suppose without loss of generality, that we relabel the set $\{\beta_j : j \in N'_i\}$ as $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\}$ (i.e. relabel the generators β_j of Π so that these are before the

others). We now compute the expression. Using the above equation,

$$\beta''(h_i) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^m r_j \beta_j \right) (h_i) = \sum_{j=1}^m \prod_{l < j} \beta_l(g_i)^{r_l} \cdot (\beta_j(g_i)^{r_j} - \beta_j(g'_i)^{r_j}) f_i \cdot \prod_{l > j} \beta_l(g'_i)^{r_l}$$

When we take f_i out of the sum, the remaining sum telescopes, and we are left precisely with

$$\left(\prod_j \beta_j(g_i)^{r_j} - \prod_j \beta_j(g'_i)^{r_j} \right) f_i = (\beta''(g_i) - \beta''(g'_i)) f_i$$

as claimed. $\square$

7. PRODUCTS OF PSEUDO-PRIMITIVE ELEMENTS - POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

We now mention results for pseudo-primitive elements h_i (and not necessarily abelian Π) in prime characteristic; note that for almost all characteristics (including zero), Proposition 5.4 above says that $\Sigma_{\Pi}(\mathbf{h}) = 0$. Before we move on to the positive case, we need a small digression.

7.1. Arithmetico-geometric series. Given $d, f \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $\varphi_d(f) = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} i^f$. Later in this section, we will need the following result on sums of powers modulo p (where $p > 0$ is prime):

Lemma 7.1. *If $f > 0$, then $\varphi(f) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ if and only if $(p-1) \mid f$, and in this case, $\varphi(p-1) = p-1 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. Here, $\varphi(f) := \varphi_p(f)$.*

This result is actually a special case of what we shall use. For this, we actually need to define a more general sum.

Definition 7.1. Fix a prime $p > 0$, and define

$$\varphi(f, z) = \varphi_p(f, z) := \sum_{l=1}^p (l^f \pmod{p}) z^l \in R$$

Here, $f \geq 0$, $\text{char}(R) = p > 0$, and $z \in R$. (Thus, the φ above satisfies: $\varphi(f) \equiv \varphi(f, 1) \pmod{p}$.) Clearly, $\varphi(f, z) = \varphi(f + (p-1), z)$ if $f > 0$.

Now fix $f > 0$; we compute $\varphi(f, z)$. Define the symbol $\binom{X+n}{f}$ to be

$$\frac{(X+n)(X+n-1)\dots(X+n-f+1)}{f!}$$

and note that this is a well-defined polynomial over those fields whose characteristic does not divide $f!$ (i.e. it is zero or greater than f).

Theorem 7.1. *Given $0 < f$, $\varphi(f, z) = z(1 - z)^{p-1-f_0} g_{f_0-1}(z)$, where $0 < f_0 < p$ and $f \equiv f_0 \pmod{p-1}$; and moreover, $g_{f_0-1}(T) = \sum_{i=0}^{f_0-1} \alpha_i T^i$ is a nonzero polynomial of degree $f_0 - 1$, whose coefficients α_i are the (unique) integers that satisfy*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{f_0-1} \alpha_i \binom{X + f_0 - 1 - i}{f_0} \equiv X^{f_0} \in \mathbb{Q}[X] \quad (6)$$

The proof of this theorem uses the following result.

Proposition 7.1. *Suppose $f! \neq 0$ in a field k .*

- (1) $\binom{X}{f}, \binom{X+1}{f}, \dots, \binom{X+f-1}{f}$ form a k -basis of the vector space of polynomials of degree at most f with no constant coefficient.
- (2) If $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ satisfy $\sum_{i=0}^{f-1} \alpha_i \binom{X+f-1-i}{f} \equiv X^f$, then $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (3) No prime $p > f$ simultaneously divides all the α_i 's.

Proof.

- (1) Clearly, the given polynomials have the correct number (as the dimension of the given vector space). It remains to show that they are linearly independent. So consider a linear combination:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{f-1} \beta_i \binom{X+i}{f} = 0$$

Evaluating at $X = -1$, we get that every $\binom{X+i}{f}$ vanishes for $i > 0$, and $\binom{X}{f} = (-1)^f \neq 0$. Hence $\beta_0 = 0$. Now proceed inductively, evaluating at $-2, -3, \dots$; we get that all the β_i 's vanish one by one.

- (2) Given the equation involving the α_i 's (and such an equation exists by the previous part), set $X = 1$. Then all but one binomial coefficients vanish (since they involve $X - 1$), and we get $\alpha_0 = 1^f \in \mathbb{Z}$. Next, put $X = 2$. Then $\alpha_2 = 2^f - (f+1)\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$. We proceed inductively to get that all $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (3) If some $p > f$ divides all the α_i 's, then the equation defining the α_i 's, when considered in $\mathbb{F}_p$, gives us that $X^f \equiv 0$, which is false.

□

We are now ready to finish the proof.

Proof of the theorem. Firstly, note that the equation defining the (integers) α_i 's is valid in $\mathbb{F}_p$, hence in $R \supset \mathbb{F}_p$, by the previous result. We therefore work only in $R[z]$ now. (Moreover, g_{f_0-1} is not identically zero from the proposition above.)

Next, we may assume that $f = f_0$, by Fermat's Little Theorem. Now compute the coefficient of z^l in $z(1 - z)^{p-f-1} g_{f-1}(z)$ (where $0 < l < p$):

$$\sum_{i=0}^{l-1} (-1)^{l-1-i} \binom{p-f-1}{l-1-i} \alpha_i$$

Modulo p , the binomial coefficient is just $\binom{-f-1}{l-1-i}$, and it is an easy-to-show fact that $\binom{-n}{i} = (-1)^i \binom{n+i-1}{i}$, whence the above sum now simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} (-1)^{l-1-i} \cdot (-1)^{l-1-i} \binom{(f+1) + (l-1-i) - 1}{l-1-i} \alpha_i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \alpha_i \binom{l + (f-1) - i}{l-1-i} = \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \alpha_i \binom{l + (f-1) - i}{f} \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating both sides of the defining equations for the α_i 's at $X = l$, this sum equals l^f . $\square$

We conclude with the following generalization of Lemma 7.1 above. The first part follows from Fermat's Little Theorem, and the second part is obvious from Theorem 7.1 above.

Proposition 7.2.

- (1) If $(p-1) \nmid f$ then $\varphi(f, 1) = -1$ (in R), unless $f = 0$, when $\varphi(0, 1) = 0$.
- (2) $\varphi(f, z) = 0$ if and only if $z = 0$, or $z = 1$, $(p-1) \nmid f$, or z is a root of g_{f_0-1} .

7.2. Preliminaries. Recall that a pseudo-primitive element is any $h \in H_{g,g'}$ so that $g^{-1}g' \in G_{\Pi}(H)$. We now need some terminology. Note by Hall's theorems, that a finite group Φ is solvable if and only if it contains Hall subgroups of all possible orders (cf. [AB, §11]). So if $|\Phi| = p^k \cdot m$ with $p \nmid m$, let Φ_m be any Hall subgroup of order m .

Definition 7.2. ($p > 0$ a fixed prime.) Given a finite solvable group Φ , denote by Φ_p, Φ' respectively, any p -Sylow subgroup and any Hall subgroup of order $|\Phi|/|\Phi_p|$. (From above, we mean $\Phi' = \Phi_m$.)

For the rest of this section, $\text{char}(R) = p > 0$; also fix n , the number of h_i 's.

Proposition 7.3. Suppose, given skew-primitive h_i 's, that $\Phi \subset \Gamma/\Gamma'$ is a finite solvable subquotient of Γ (as in a previous section) with respect to which every h_i is pseudo-primitive. Then $\Sigma_{\Phi}(\mathbf{h}) = \Sigma_{\Phi'}(\mathbf{g}) \cdot \Sigma_{\Phi_p}(\mathbf{h})$.

Proof. We first claim that the “set-product” $\Phi' \Phi_p := \{\beta * \lambda : \beta \in \Phi', \lambda \in \Phi_p\}$ equals the entire group Φ . Next, if $\beta \in \Phi'$, then $\beta(h) = 0$ for any pseudo-primitive $h \in H_{g,g'}$, because if $|\Phi'| = m \neq 0 \pmod p$, then $\beta^{*m} \in \Gamma' \subset \Gamma_h$ (as in a previous section), whence $0 = \varepsilon(h) = \beta^{*m}(h) = m\beta(g)^{m-1}\beta(h)$. Therefore we finally compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{\Phi}(\mathbf{h}) &= \sum_{\beta \in \Phi', \lambda \in \Phi_p} \prod_{i=1}^n (\beta * \lambda)(h_i) = \sum_{\beta \in \Phi', \lambda \in \Phi_p} \prod_{i=1}^n \beta(g_i) \lambda(h_i) \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in \Phi'} \prod_{i=1}^n \beta(g_i) \cdot \sum_{\lambda \in \Phi_p} \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda(h_i) = \Sigma_{\Phi'}(\mathbf{g}) \Sigma_{\Phi_p}(\mathbf{h}) \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. $\square$

Remark 7.1. The above proposition thus holds for *any* group Φ , such that some p -Sylow subgroup Φ_p has a complete set of coset representatives, none of whom has order divisible by p . Obvious examples are abelian groups or groups of order $p^a q^b$ for primes $p \neq q$ (but these are solvable by Burnside's Theorem).

Also note that the above sum is independent of the choices of Φ_p, Φ' .

The next result is crucial in computing $\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h})$, and uses subquotients of Π .

Theorem 7.2. *Given $\Pi \subset \Gamma$, suppose $h_i \in H$ is pseudo-primitive with respect to Π for all i . Define $\Phi = \Pi_{ab} := \Pi/[\Pi, \Pi]$. Then*

$$\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h}) = |[\Pi, \Pi]| \cdot \Sigma_{\Phi'}(\mathbf{g}) \cdot \Sigma_{\Phi_p}(\mathbf{h}) \quad (7)$$

For instance, if every h_i was almost primitive, then $\Sigma_{\Phi'}(\mathbf{g}) = [\Phi : \Phi_p]$.

Proof. At the outset, we note that $\Sigma_{\Phi'}(\mathbf{g})$ and $\Sigma_{\Phi_p}(\mathbf{h})$ make sense because of Corollary 4.1 and Proposition 4.1 above. Now, the proof is in two steps; each step uses a previously unused result above.

Step 1. We claim that $\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h}) = |[\Pi, \Pi]| \Sigma_\Phi(\mathbf{h})$. This follows immediately from Lemma 4.2, where we replace h, Γ'', Π° by $\mathbf{h}, [\Pi, \Pi], \Pi$ respectively.

The only thing we need to check, is that the above replacements are indeed valid. Since $[\Pi, \Pi]$ is normal in Π , we only need to check that $[\Pi, \Pi] \subset \Gamma_{\mathbf{h}}$. But this follows from Corollary 4.1 and Proposition 4.1 above.

Step 2. The proof is now complete, if we invoke Proposition 7.3 above. $\square$

We conclude the preliminaries with one last result - for *skew*-primitive elements in general.

Proposition 7.4. *If $\Pi_p \not\cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^k$ for any $k > 0$, then $\Sigma_{\Pi_p}(\mathbf{h}) = 0$.*

Proof. By Theorem 5.1, the h_i 's are almost primitive with respect to Π_p . Hence we can invoke equation (7) above, if we replace Π by Π_p . Now, if Π_p is not abelian, then $|[\Pi_p, \Pi_p]| > 1$, hence is a power of p , whence the right-hand side vanishes. Next, if Π_p is abelian, but contains an element of order p^2 , then $\Sigma_{\Pi_p}(\mathbf{h}) = 0$ by Theorem 5.1 again. The only remaining case is when $\Pi_p \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^k$ for some k . If $k = 0$, then $\Pi_p = \{\varepsilon\}$, and $\varepsilon(\mathbf{h}) = 0$. $\square$

7.3. The main results - pseudo-primitive elements. The following result now computes $\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h})$ (for pseudo-primitive h_i 's) in most cases in prime characteristic that are “nonabelian”. For the “abelian” case, we appeal to Theorem 7.4 below - and mention at the outset, that it is only for *almost* primitive (and not merely pseudo-primitive) elements, that we get a much clearer picture - as its last part shows.

Theorem 7.3. *Suppose $\text{char}(R) = p \in \mathbb{N}$, Π_p is any (fixed) p -Sylow subgroup of Π , and every h_i is pseudo-primitive (with respect to Π).*

- (1) $\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h}) = 0$ if Π_p
 - (a) is trivial,
 - (b) contains an element of order p^2 , or
 - (c) intersects $[\Pi, \Pi]$ nontrivially.

This last part includes the cases when Π_p

- (d) is not abelian,
- (e) does not map isomorphically onto (some) Φ_p , via (the restriction of) the quotient map $\pi : \Pi \rightarrow \Phi = \Pi/[\Pi, \Pi]$, or
- (f) has size strictly greater than Φ_p .
- (2) Otherwise $\Pi_p \cong \Phi_p \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^k$ for some $k > 0$, and then $\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h}) = |[\Pi, \Pi]| \cdot \Sigma_{\Phi'}(\mathbf{g}) \cdot \Sigma_{\Pi_p}(\mathbf{h})$.

Remark 7.2. Any finite abelian group of exponent p is of the form $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^k$, hence one part of the second statement is clear. Moreover, every subquotient of such a group is of the same form. Finally (especially when all of the h_i 's are almost primitive with respect to Π), the cases that remain reduce to computing $\Sigma_{\Pi_p}(\mathbf{h})$, and when $\Pi_p \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^k$; we address this below.

Proof. The second part follows from the first part, the remarks above, and equation (7). We now show the first part.

- (a) If Π_p is trivial, then $p \nmid |\Pi|$, and we are done by Proposition 5.4.
- (b) This has been done in Theorem 5.1 above.
- (c) Now suppose that $[\Pi, \Pi] \cap \Pi_p \neq \emptyset$. Then $[\Pi, \Pi]$ contains an element of order p , whence p divides $|[\Pi, \Pi]|$. Now use equation (7).

It remains to show how this last includes the remaining cases.

- (d) First, if Π_p is nonabelian, then $[\Pi_p, \Pi_p]$ is a nontrivial subgroup of the p -group Π_p . In particular, Π_p intersects $[\Pi, \Pi]$.
- (e) Next, note that $\pi(\Pi_p)$ is a p -group in Φ , and $|\Pi_p| \geq |\Phi_p|$ (since $|\Phi|$ divides $|\Pi|$). Hence Π_p does not map isomorphically onto (some) Φ_p if and only if π is not one-to-one on Π_p . But then we again have that $[\Pi, \Pi]$ intersects Π_p .
- (f) Finally, if $|\Pi_p| > |\Phi_p|$, then Π_p cannot map isomorphically onto Φ_p , so we are done by the preceding paragraph.

□

We conclude by analysing $\Sigma_{\Pi_p}(\mathbf{h})$. Note that the results below that pertain only to $\Sigma_{\Pi_p}(\mathbf{h})$ are applicable in general to all skew-primitive h_i 's, by Theorem 5.1 above.

Theorem 7.4. *Setup as above. Suppose moreover that $\Pi_p \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^k$.*

- (1) $\gamma_j(g_i) = 1$ for all i, j . In particular, $\mathbf{g} \in G_{\Pi_p}(H)$.
- (2) If $k > n$, then $\Sigma_{\Pi}(\mathbf{h}) = \Sigma_{\Pi_p}(\mathbf{h}) = 0$.
- (3) If $k = n$, then

$$\Sigma_{\Pi_p}(\mathbf{h}) = \prod_{j=1}^k \varphi(1, \gamma_j(\mathbf{g})) \cdot \text{perm}(A) = \text{perm}(A) \cdot \varphi(1, 1)^k$$

where the γ_j 's form a $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of Π_p , $\varphi(f, z)$ is as above, A is the matrix given by $a_{ij} = \gamma_j(h_i)$, and perm is the matrix permanent:

$$\text{perm}(A_{n \times n}) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i, \sigma(i)}$$

In particular, $\Sigma_{\Pi_p}(\mathbf{h}) = 0$ unless $p = 2$, in which case we get $\Sigma_{\Pi}(\mathbf{h}) = \Sigma_{\Pi_p}(\mathbf{h}) = \det A$.

- (4) If $\Sigma_{\Pi_p}(\mathbf{h}) \neq 0$, then $(p-1)|n$ and $0 < k \leq n/(p-1)$, and then $\Sigma_{\Pi_p}(\mathbf{h})$ can take any value $r \in R$. (If $k = n$ and $p = 2$, then $r \neq 0$.)

Remark 7.3.

- (1) The above result is independent of the chosen p -Sylow subgroup Π_p , as well as the choices of generators γ_j .
- (2) Let us look at the special case $p = 2$ (and also focus on $n = 1$, $g, g' \in G_{\Pi}(H)$ as considered in Theorem 3.3 above). If Π_2 denotes any fixed 2-Sylow subgroup, and has an element of order 4, then $\Sigma_{\Pi}(\mathbf{h}) = 0$. Otherwise every nontrivial element in Π_2 has order 2, whence Π_2 is a finite abelian group with exponent 2, i.e. $\Pi_2 \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^k$ for some k . Theorem 7.4 then tells us that $\Sigma_{\Pi}(\mathbf{h}) = 0$ if $k > n$. (If $n = 1$, then this happens when 4 divides $|\Pi_2|$.)

Moreover, since $p = 2$, the last part says (if $\mathbf{g} \in G_{\Pi}(H)$ as well) that $\Sigma_{\Pi}(\mathbf{h}) = \Sigma_{\Pi_p}(\mathbf{h})$ can take any value for any $k \leq n = n/(p-1)$. For instance, if $n = 1$, then $\Sigma_{\Pi_p}(h) = \gamma(h)$ (the determinant - or permanent - of a 1×1 matrix) for any γ of order 2 (i.e. basis of some 2-Sylow subgroup).

The rest of this section is devoted to proving the above result. First, suppose we have a subgroup $\Pi'_p \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^k$ of Π (so $\Pi'_p \subset \Pi_p$ in general). Choose a set of coset representatives $\mathcal{B}$ for Π'_p in Π , and write

$$\Sigma_{\Pi}(\mathbf{h}) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}, \gamma \in \Pi'_p} (\gamma * \beta)(\mathbf{h}) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}, \gamma \in \Pi'_p} \prod_{i=1}^n (\gamma * \beta)(h_i)$$

Recall that every element of Π'_p is $\gamma_{a,I} := \sum_{j \in I} a_j \gamma_j$ (with γ_i as above), for some subset I of $[k] := \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, and some $|I|$ -tuple $a = (a_j)_{j \in I}$ of elements of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$. Recall, moreover, that we had previously defined g_I, g'_I, h_I for $I \subset [n]$.

We also need the following lemma, that is proved using Proposition 4.2.

Lemma 7.2. *If $h \in H_{g,g'}$ is pseudo-primitive with respect to Π , and given $\beta \in \mathcal{B}, \gamma_{a,I} \in \Pi_p$ as above, we have*

$$(\beta * \gamma_{a,I})(h) = \prod_{j=1}^k \gamma_j(g)^{a_j} \cdot \left[\beta(h) + \beta(g) \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \gamma_j(g^{-1}h) \right]$$

The key observation now, is that the only “monomials” that occur in the product $\prod_{i=1}^n (\beta * \gamma_{a,I})(h_i)$ are of the form

$$\beta(\mathbf{g}g_{I_0}^{-1}h_{I_0}) \cdot \prod_{j \in I} \gamma_j(h_{I_j})$$

where $\coprod_j I_j \coprod I_0 = [n]$, and $I_j \subset I$ for all j . The coefficient of such a monomial in this particular summand, is $\prod_{j \in I} a_j^{|I_j|} \gamma_j(\mathbf{g})^{a_j}$ by the lemma above. Moreover, every such monomial occurs at most once inside each $(\beta * \gamma_{a,I})(\mathbf{h})$.

The crucial fact that will prove Theorem 7.4 above, is the following

Key claim. The coefficient of $\beta(\mathbf{g}g_{I_0}^{-1}h_{I_0}) \prod_{j \in I} \gamma_j(h_{I_j})$ in $\sum_{\gamma \in \Pi'_p} (\beta * \gamma)(\mathbf{h})$, equals $p^{k-|I|} \prod_{j \in I} \varphi(|I_j|, \gamma_j(\mathbf{g}))$.

Proof of the key claim. A monomial of the desired form occurs in precisely those (a', I') -summands, so that $I' \supset I$. Moreover, all such summands can be split up into a disjoint union over all $a \in ((\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times)^{|I|}$, with each disjoint piece containing all (a', I') so that $I' \supset I$ and the I -component of a' is a .

Such a piece contains exactly $p^{k-|I|}$ elements (and hence exactly that number of copies of the monomial with this selfsame coefficient). Each of these “extra” $[k] \setminus I$ factors contributes a $\beta(h_i)$, which gives $\beta(h_{I_0})$.

Moreover, there is one contribution for each $a \in ((\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times)^{|I|}$, and it is $\prod_{j \in I} a_j^{|I_j|} \gamma_j(\mathbf{g})^{a_j} \cdot \beta(\mathbf{g}g_{I_0}^{-1})$, since the argument for the β -factor here is precisely $\prod_{j=1}^k g_{I_j}$.

Summing over all possible tuples $a \in ((\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times)^{|I|}$, we get the coefficient (apart from the β -part) to be

$$p^{k-|I|} \sum_a \prod_{j \in I} a_j^{|I_j|} \gamma_j(\mathbf{g})^{a_j} = p^{k-|I|} \prod_{j \in I} \sum_{a_j=1}^{p-1} a_j^{|I_j|} \gamma_j(\mathbf{g})^{a_j}$$

and this equals $p^{k-|I|} \prod_{j \in I} \varphi(|I_j|, \gamma_j(\mathbf{g}))$ as desired, because the only problem may occur when some $|I_j| = 0$. But then $|I| < k$, so

$$p^{k-|I|} \sum_{a_j=1}^{p-1} a_j^0 \gamma_j(\mathbf{g})^{a_j} = 0 \sum_{a_j=1}^{p-1} a_j^0 \gamma_j(\mathbf{g})^{a_j} = 0 \sum_{a_j=1}^p a_j^0 \gamma_j(\mathbf{g})^{a_j} = p^{k-|I|} \varphi(0, \gamma_j(\mathbf{g}))$$

□

Proof of Theorem 7.4.

- (1) This is from Theorem 5.1 above.

We now set $\Pi_p = \Pi'_p$. We first note from the key claim that if I_0 is nonempty, or any I_j is empty, then the coefficient of that particular monomial vanishes. This is because we are working in characteristic p , and $\varphi(0, 1) = 0$ by Proposition 7.2 above.

- (2) Suppose $k > n$. Then at least one I_j must be empty in every monomial above, by the Pigeonhole Principle, and we are done.
- (3) If $k = n$, then the only monomials that have a nonzero contribution to the sum $\Sigma_{\Pi'_p}(\mathbf{h})$ must correspond to empty I_0 and singleton I_j 's (since $\prod_{j=1}^k I_j = [n] = [k]$). In other words, we have $\sigma \in S_n : j \mapsto i_j \forall j$. Moreover, the coefficient of such a monomial is $p^0 \prod_{j=1}^n \varphi(1, \gamma_j(\mathbf{g}))$, and these monomials all add up to give the matrix permanent, as claimed. (Note that $\gamma_j(g_i^{-1}) = 1 = \gamma_j(\mathbf{g})$ by Theorem 5.1 above.) The rest of the statements are easy to see now.
- (4) (Note that $\gamma_j(\mathbf{g}) = 1$ for all j here.) In this part, we are only concerned with $\Sigma_{\Pi_p}(\mathbf{h})$, so that β does not contribute here either (so $I_0 = \emptyset$ and $[n] = \prod_{j \in I} I_j$).

From the key claim and Proposition 7.2 above, we see that if some monomial has a nonzero contribution, then $(p-1)$ divides $|I_j|$ for all j , and $I = [k]$. In particular, $(p-1)$ divides $\sum_{j \in I} |I_j| = n$, and

$$n = \sum_{j \in I} |I_j| = \sum_{j=1}^k |I_j| \geq \sum_{j=1}^k (p-1) = k(p-1)$$

whence $k \leq n/(p-1)$. Moreover, $\Sigma_{\Pi_p}(\mathbf{h}) = \varepsilon(\mathbf{h}) = 0$ if $k = 0$.

It remains to present, for each $0 < k \leq n/(p-1)$ and (nonzero) $r \in R$, an example of $(H, \Pi = \Pi_p)$, so that $\Sigma_{\Pi}(\mathbf{h}) = \Sigma_{\Pi_p}(\mathbf{h}) = r$. We analyse this example in the next section.

□

8. EXAMPLE 8: LIE ALGEBRAS

Suppose $H = \mathfrak{U}\mathfrak{g}$ for some Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ (say over $\mathbb{C}$). Then any weight $\mu \in \Gamma$ kills $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$, hence belongs to $(\mathfrak{g}/[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}])^*$. Let us denote $\mathfrak{g}_{ab} := \mathfrak{g}/[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$. Conversely, any element of the set above, is a weight of H , using multiplicativity and evaluating it at the projection down to the quotient $\mathfrak{g}_{ab}$. Thus, Γ is the dual space (under addition) of the abelianization $\mathfrak{g}_{ab}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. Hence we now examine what happens in the case of an (R -free) abelian Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$.

In this case, we have the free R -module $\mathfrak{h} = \oplus_i R h_i$ with the trivial Lie bracket, and $H = \mathfrak{U}\mathfrak{h} = \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{h})$. Thus, H inherits the usual Hopf algebra structure now (i.e. $\Delta(h_i) = 1 \otimes h_i + h_i \otimes 1$, $S(h_i) = -h_i$, $\varepsilon(h_i) = 0 \forall i$).

Firstly, $(\Gamma, *) = (\mathfrak{h}^*, +)$. By Proposition 5.4, if $\text{char}(R) \nmid |\Pi|$, then $\Sigma_{\Pi}(\mathbf{h}) = 0$ for all $\mathbf{h} \in H$. Thus, the only case left to consider is when

$\text{char}(R) = p > 0$. But then $(\mathfrak{h}^*, +)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -vector space, so every finite subgroup is $\Pi = \Pi_p \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^k$ for some k . Moreover, Theorem 3.1 and (the last part of) Theorem 7.4 provide more results in this case.

We therefore conclude the example (and the proof of the theorem above) by analysing the computation of $\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h})$ for $\mathbf{h} = h_1 \dots h_n$. For any (nonzero) $r \in R$, we produce such a finite subgroup $\Pi = \Pi_p \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^k$, so that $0 < k \leq n/(p-1)$ and $\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h}) = r$.

Construction: Given k , partition $[n]$ into k disjoint nonempty subsets $[n] = \coprod_{j=1}^k I_j$, reordered so that $I_1 = \{1, \dots, n - (k-1)(p-1)\}$, and so that $|I_j| = p-1$ for all $j > 1$. For each $1 \leq j \leq n$, define $\gamma_j \in \mathfrak{h}^* = \Gamma$ as follows: $\gamma_1(h_1) = r$, $\gamma_j(h_i) = 1$ if $i \neq 1 \in I_j$, and $\gamma_j(h_i) = 0$ otherwise. (One verifies that the γ_i 's thus defined are indeed linearly independent over $k(R)$, hence over $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ as well, but for this, we do need that $r \neq 0$ if $n = k, p = 2$.) Thus for any $K \subset [k]$, $\gamma_K(h_i) := \sum_{j \in K} \gamma_j(h_i)$ vanishes unless $i \in \cup_{j \in K} I_j$.

Now evaluate $\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h}) = \sum_{K \subset [k], a} \prod_{i=1}^n \gamma_{a,K}(h_i)$, where we define $\Pi := \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} \gamma_i = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \gamma_i$. By the key claim in the previous section, the only monomials $\prod_{j \in I} \gamma_j(h_{I'_j})$ that do not vanish are for $|I| = k$, and with $(p-1)$ divides $|I'_j|$ for all j . Moreover, $\gamma_j(h_i)$ is zero except when $i \in I_j$, so there is only one type of monomial remaining: $\prod_{j \in I} \gamma_j(h_{I_j})$. (Note that this satisfies the earlier condition: $(p-1)$ divides $|I_j|$ for all j .)

Moreover, by the key claim, the coefficient of this monomial, which itself equals $r \cdot \prod_{i=2}^n 1 = r$, is $\prod_{j=1}^k \varphi(|I_j|, 1)$, and by Fermat's Little Theorem, $\varphi(|I_j|, 1) = p-1 = -1 \forall j$ (in characteristic p). We conclude that $\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h}) = \Sigma_{\Pi_p}(\mathbf{h}) = (-1)^k r$, whence we are done (start with $r' = (-1)^k r$ to get r).

9. EXAMPLE 9: DEGENERATE AFFINE HECKE ALGEBRAS OF REDUCTIVE TYPE WITH TRIVIAL PARAMETER

In this section, we apply the general theory above, to a special case, wherein a finite group acts on a vector space (or free R -module in our case), with the group and the module corresponding to the Weyl group and the Cartan subalgebra (actually, its dual space) respectively, of a reductive Lie algebra. We use the $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of simple roots (and any $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis for the center), to try and compute the value of $\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h})$.

9.1. Hopf algebras acting on vector spaces. We will consider special cases of the following class of Hopf algebras. Suppose that a cocommutative R -Hopf algebra A acts on a free R -module V ; denote the action by $a(v)$ for $a \in A, v \in V$. Then A also acts on V^* by: $\langle a(\lambda), v \rangle := \langle \lambda, S(a)(v) \rangle$.

We now consider the R -algebra H generated by the sets A and V , with obvious relations in A , and the extra relations $vv' = v'v$, $\sum a_{(1)} v S(a_{(2)}) =: \text{ad } a(v) = a(v)$ for all $a \in A$ and $v, v' \in V$. We remark that the relation $\text{ad } a(v) = a(v)$ can be rephrased, as the following lemma shows:

Lemma 9.1. *Suppose some R -Hopf algebra A acts on a free R -module V , and an R -algebra B contains A, V . Then the following relations are equivalent (in B) for all $v \in V$:*

- (1) $\sum a_{(1)} v S(a_{(2)}) = a(v)$ for all $a \in A$.
- (2) $av = \sum a_{(1)}(v) a_{(2)}$ for all $a \in A$.

If A is cocommutative, then both of these are also equivalent to:

- (3) $va = \sum a_{(1)} S(a_{(2)})(v)$ for all $a \in A$.

Moreover, if this holds, then any unital subalgebra M of B that is also an A -submodule (via ad), is an A -(Hopf-)module algebra under the action

$$a(m) := \text{ad } a(m) = \sum a_{(1)} m S(a_{(2)}) \quad \forall a \in A, \quad m \in M$$

(The proof is straightforward.) For instance, we can take $M = B$ or A - or in the above example of H , we can consider $M = \text{Sym}_R V$.

We claim that H is a Hopf algebra with the usual operations: on A , they restrict to the Hopf algebra structure of A , and V consists of primitive elements. The operations Δ, ε, S then extend by (anti)multiplicativity to the entire algebra H , if the ideal generated by all $vv' - v'v$, $\sum a_{(1)} v S(a_{(2)}) - a(v)$ is killed by ε , stable under S , and a coideal under Δ . (It is easy to verify that this is indeed the case, given that A is cocommutative.) All the other axioms are verified to hold, and H is indeed a Hopf algebra.

By the above lemma, if A is R -free, then the ring H is an R -free R -Hopf algebra, with R -basis given by $\{a \cdot m\}$, where $a \in A$ and m run respectively over some R -basis of A , and all (monomial) words (including the empty word) with alphabet given by an R -basis of V . It has the subalgebras A and $\text{Sym}_R(V)$, and is called the *smash product* $A \ltimes \text{Sym}_R V$ of A and $\text{Sym}_R V$.

We now determine the weights of H . Denote by Γ_A the group of weights of A (under convolution). We now generalize Proposition 2.2 above:

Proposition 9.1. *Given H as above, the set of weights $\Gamma = \Gamma_H$ equals the Cartesian product $\Gamma_A \times V_\varepsilon^*$, with convolution given by*

$$(\nu_1, \lambda_1) * (\nu_2, \lambda_2) = (\nu_1 \nu_2, \lambda_1 + \lambda_2) = (\nu_1 *_A \nu_2, \lambda_1 *_V \lambda_2)$$

for $\nu_i \in \Gamma_A$, $\lambda_i \in V_\varepsilon^$. (Here, V_ε^* is the ε -weight space of the A -module V^* .)*

Proof. Given $\gamma \in \Gamma$, its restrictions to A and $\text{Sym}_R(V)$ are also algebra maps, or weights, of the respective subalgebras. From above, the sets of weights of these subalgebras are Γ_A and V^* respectively. Moreover, these “restricted” weights extend to the weight γ of H if and only if $\gamma(\text{ad } a(v)) = \gamma(a(v))$, i.e.

$$\gamma(a(v)) = \gamma(\text{ad } a(v)) = \sum \gamma(a_{(1)}) \gamma(S(a_{(2)})) \gamma(v) = \varepsilon(a) \gamma(v)$$

Thus, the condition above for all a, v is equivalent to saying (note that A is cocommutative, so $S^2 = \text{id}$ on A , whence S is a bijection on A) that

$$a(\gamma)(v) = \langle \gamma, S(a)(v) \rangle = (\text{above}) \varepsilon(S(a)) \gamma(v) = \varepsilon^{-1}(a) \gamma(v) = \varepsilon(a) \gamma(v)$$

(since ε is the identity in Γ). Thus for all a , $a(\gamma) - \varepsilon(a)\gamma$ kills all of V . $\square$

9.2. Degenerate affine Hecke algebras. Since we will be working over any commutative unital integral domain R , hence we can generate examples over all R , if we have a lattice in V that is fixed by A , and we then look at the R -span. We now specialise to the case when $A = RW$ is the group ring of a Weyl group acting on a Cartan subalgebra of the corresponding semisimple Lie algebra. Then one uses the root lattice Q inside $V = \mathfrak{h}^*$.

We will work in slightly greater generality. Given a finite-dimensional reductive complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, let W be its Weyl group and $\mathfrak{h}$ a fixed chosen Cartan subalgebra. Thus $\mathfrak{h} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathfrak{h}_i$, where for $i > 0$, $\mathfrak{h}_i$ corresponds to a simple component (ideal) of $\mathfrak{g}$, with corresponding base of simple roots Δ_i and Weyl group W_i , say; and $\mathfrak{h}_0$ is the central ideal in $\mathfrak{g}$.

We define $Q_i = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_i} \mathbb{Z}\alpha$, the root lattice inside $\mathfrak{h}_i^*$, and choose and fix some $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice Q_0 inside $\mathfrak{h}_0^*$. Now replace $\mathfrak{h}_i^*$ by $V_i = \mathfrak{h}_i^* := R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Q_i$, and $\mathfrak{h}_i$ by the R -dual of $\mathfrak{h}_i^*$, for all $i \geq 0$. Thus, for the entire Lie algebra, we have $\Delta = \coprod_{i \geq 0} \Delta_i$ and $W = \times_{i \geq 0} W_i$.

We now define $V = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} V_i$, whence the previous subsection applies and we can form the algebra $H = RW \ltimes \text{Sym}_R V$. This is the *degenerate affine Hecke algebra with trivial parameter* (the parameter is trivial since $wv - w(v)w$ is always zero), of reductive type. This is a special case of [Ch, Definition 1.1], where we set $\eta = 0$.

Before we try to address the general case, note that there are two types of $\mathfrak{h}_i$'s in here: ones corresponding to simple Lie algebras, which we address first, and the “central part”, which is fixed by W (hence so is $\mathfrak{h}_0^*$).

9.3. The simple case. We first consider the case: $V = \mathfrak{h}^* = R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Q$ for a *simple* Lie algebra. Thus Δ is irreducible, and given $H = RW \ltimes \text{Sym}_R(\mathfrak{h}^*)$, we have $\Gamma = \Gamma_W \times \mathfrak{h}^W$ (because the condition in Proposition 9.1 above translates to: $w(\gamma) = \varepsilon(w)\gamma = \gamma$ for all $w \in W, \gamma \in \Gamma$). Here, $\Gamma_W = \Gamma_{RW}$.

We now state our main result, using the convention that all roots in the simply laced cases (types A, D, E) are short. The result helps compute Σ_{Π} at any element of the R -basis $\{g \cdot m\}$ mentioned in an earlier subsection.

Theorem 9.1. *Setup as above.*

- (1) *If $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$, or W is of type G_2 , or W has more than one short simple root, then every weight acts as $\varepsilon = 0$ on $\mathfrak{h}^*$. In particular, $\Sigma_{\Pi} = 0$ on $\text{Sym}_R(\mathfrak{h}^*)$.*
- (2) *If $\text{char}(R) = 2$, then every weight acts as ε on W . Now suppose also that W is not of type G_2 , and has only one short simple root α_s , say. If Π has an element of order 4, or h_i has no “ α_s -contribution” (i.e. $h_i \in \bigoplus_{\alpha_s \neq \alpha \in \Delta} R \cdot \alpha$) for some i , then $\Sigma_{\Pi}(\mathbf{h}) = 0$.*
- (3) *If this does not happen, i.e. $\Pi = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^k$ for some k , and the hypotheses of the previous part hold, then*

$$\Sigma_{\Pi}(\alpha_s^n) = \sum_{\substack{l_i \geq 0 \ \forall i \\ l_1 + \dots + l_k = n}} \binom{n}{l_1, \dots, l_k} \prod_{i=1}^k \gamma_i(\alpha_s)^{l_i}$$

where the γ_i 's are any set of generators for Π . In particular, this vanishes if $k > r$, where $\sum_{j=1}^r 2^{s_j}$ is the binary expansion of n .

Remark 9.1.

- (1) **Warning.** Do not confuse the h_i 's here with elements of $\mathfrak{h}$; indeed, $h_i \in H$, so they really are in $\mathfrak{h}^*$, and I apologise for this notation!
- (2) The coefficient above is just the multinomial coefficient $n!/(\prod_i l_i!)$, which we also denote by $\binom{n}{l_1, \dots, l_{k-1}}$, just as $\binom{n}{k, n-k} = \binom{n}{k}$. The last line in the theorem follows because this coefficient is odd if and only if $(r, s_j$ as above) we can partition $\{2^{s_j} : j\}$ into k nonempty subsets, and the l_i 's are precisely the sums of the elements in the subsets. (This fails, for instance, if some two l_i 's are equal, or $k > r$.) This fact, in turn, follows (inductively) from the following easy-to-prove

Lemma 9.2. Suppose $p > 0$ is prime, $p^s \leq n < p^{s+1}$ for some $s \geq 0$, and $l_k \geq l_i \forall i$. If $l_k < p^s$ then p divides $\binom{n}{l_1, \dots, l_{k-1}}$. Otherwise p divides neither or both of $\binom{n}{l_1, \dots, l_{k-1}}$ and $\binom{n-p^s}{l_1, \dots, l_{k-1}}$.

The rest of the subsection is devoted to the proof of the theorem. We once again mention a result crucial to the proof, then use it to prove the theorem, and conclude by proving the key claim.

Key claim. ($\text{char}(R)$ arbitrary.) If W contains a Dynkin subgraph Ω of type A_2 or G_2 , then both the simple roots in Ω are killed by all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^W$. If Ω is of type B_2 , then the long root in Ω is killed by all λ .

Proof modulo the key claim. We now show the theorem.

- (1) First suppose that $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$. If $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^W$, then $\lambda(\alpha) = \lambda(s_\alpha(\alpha)) = -\lambda(\alpha)$, whence $\lambda(\alpha) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$, and $\mathfrak{h}^W = 0$.

For the other claims, we use the classification of simple Lie algebras in terms of Dynkin diagrams, as mentioned in [H, Chapter 3]. To show that a weight λ kills all of $\mathfrak{h}^*$, it suffices to show that $\lambda(\alpha) = 0 \forall \alpha \in \Delta$, i.e. that it kills each simple root, or node of the corresponding Dynkin diagram.

If the Dynkin diagram of a Lie algebra has (a sub-diagram of) type A_2 or G_2 , then both nodes of that diagram (or both α_i 's) are killed by all weights $\lambda \in \Gamma$, by the key claim above. This automatically eliminates all diagrams of type A_n for $n > 1$, as well as all D, E, F, G -type diagrams, leaving only type A_1 among these.

Moreover, for types B, C , at most one simple root (the “last” one) is not killed by all λ 's. If this root is long, then it is also killed by the key claim above (as a part of a B_2), and we are done.

- (2) Firstly, $\lambda(s_\alpha^2) = \lambda(s_\alpha)^2 = 1$, whence $\lambda(s_\alpha) = \pm 1 = 1 \forall \alpha \in \Delta$, if $\text{char}(R) = 2$. This implies that $\lambda(w) = 1 = \varepsilon(w)$ for all $w \in W, \lambda \in \Gamma$. Next, Theorem 7.3 above tells us that if Π has an element of order

4, then $\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h}) = 0$. Finally, if some h_i has no “ α_s -contribution”, then it is killed by all λ , by the previous part, so $\lambda(\mathbf{h}) = 0 \forall \lambda \in \Gamma$.

- (3) As we remarked after Theorem 7.4, $\Pi = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^k$ in characteristic 2, if Π does not have an element of order 4. (Reason: $\Gamma = \{\varepsilon\} \times \mathfrak{h}^W \cong (\mathfrak{h}^W, +)$ is a free R -module by the previous part, and $2\Gamma = 0$.)

We now perform the computation. For this, suppose that $h_i - c_i \alpha_s$ is in the R -span of $\{\alpha \in \Delta : \alpha \neq \alpha_s\}$ (note that in the case of A_1 , the condition $h_i \in R \cdot \alpha_s$ is automatic). Then $\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h}) = (\prod_i c_i) \cdot \Sigma_\Pi(\alpha_s^n)$, so it suffices to compute $\Sigma_\Pi(\alpha_s^n)$.

If $\{\gamma_i\}$ is any set of generators (or $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -basis) for Π , then the desired equation actually holds if we sum over all *nonnegative* tuples l_i , that add up to k . Thus, the proof is similar to that of the key claim used to prove Theorem 7.4 above; we simply need to note that if $I \subsetneq [k]$, then every $\prod_{j \in I} \gamma_j(\alpha_s^{|I_j|})$ occurs with an even coefficient. $\square$

Finally, we prove the key claim.

Proof of the key claim. It helps to look at the pictures of these rank 2 root systems (drawn in [H, Chapter 3]). We use the W -invariance of $\lambda|_V \forall \lambda \in \Gamma$.

Consider the system A_2 , with simple roots α, β . Given $\lambda \in \Gamma$, we have $\lambda(\alpha) = \lambda(\beta) = \lambda(\alpha + \beta)$, whence $\lambda(\alpha) = \lambda(\beta) = 0$.

The root system G_2 has two subsystems of type A_2 , whence each λ must kill both subsystems.

Now consider B_2 , with long root α and short root β . Clearly, $\beta + \alpha$ is another short root, whence $\lambda(\beta + \alpha) = \lambda(\beta)$, and we are done. $\square$

9.4. The reductive case. We conclude by mentioning what happens in the reductive case. We will need the results proved in the simple case above. Recall also that we had set our notation for this situation, when we defined degenerate affine Hecke algebras with trivial parameter earlier. We now use this notation freely, without recalling it from there.

Let V' be the direct sum of V_0 and the R -span of all the unique short simple roots $\alpha_{i, \text{short}}$ inside any of the simple components $V_i = \mathfrak{h}_i^*$ of the “correct” type (not G_2). Let the other simple roots in Δ span the R -submodule V'' . Then $V = V' \oplus V''$, and each $\lambda \in \Gamma$ kills V'' . We now have two cases.

Case 1. $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$. Then λ in fact kills all $\alpha \in \Delta$, because $\lambda(\alpha) = \lambda(s_\alpha(\alpha)) = -\lambda(\alpha)$. This means that we are left with V_0 , i.e. if for all i , we have $h_i - v_{0,i} \in \bigoplus_{j>0} V_j$ for some $v_{0,i} \in V_0$, then $\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h}) = \Sigma_\Pi(\prod_i v_{0,i})$.

Next, recall that $\Sigma_\Pi = \Gamma_W \times (V^*)^W$, so we are reduced to the case of every λ being represented (on V_0) by some element of $V_0^* = (V_0^*)^W$. We conclude this case by noting that we had some (partial) results on how to compute this, in the previous section.

Case 2. $\text{char}(R) = 2$. Then $\lambda(w) = 1$ for all w, λ , as seen above. Moreover, we are left only to consider the case of all $h_i \in V'$. Now, $\Gamma = \varepsilon_W \times (V')^*$, whence any finite subgroup $\Pi = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^k$ for some k (since it too is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -vector space). In this situation, Theorems 7.3 and 7.4 (and 9.1 as well) give us some information on how to compute $\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h})$.

10. AN EXAMPLE THAT ATTAINS ANY VALUE

We conclude with examples where $\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h})$ can take any value in R , if the h_i 's are merely skew-primitive.

10.1. Example 10: A skew-primitively generated algebra. By Proposition 5.4 above, if all h_i 's are pseudo-primitive, then $\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h}) = 0$ if $\text{char}(R) \nmid |\Pi|$ - whereas if $\text{char}(R)$ divides $|\Pi|$, then we have analyzed the situation in Section 7 above.

We may ask if such results hold in general, i.e. for products of skew-primitive elements. However, the following counterexamples show that even when Π is abelian, $\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h})$ can attain any nonzero value in R : given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{H}_n$ be generated as a commutative R -algebra by (skew-primitive) $h_1, \dots, h_n$, and (grouplike) $g^{\pm 1}$ (so $g \cdot g^{-1} = 1$), with the comultiplication given by

$$\Delta(g) = g \otimes g, \quad \Delta(h_i) = g \otimes h_i + h_i \otimes 1$$

Then the map $S : \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_n$, that sends g to g^{-1} and h_i to $-g^{-1}h_i$, makes $\mathcal{H}_n$ a Hopf algebra (with S the antipode). Moreover, the set of weights Γ is verified to be $R^n \times R^\times$, with $(\mathbf{a}, z) := (a_1, \dots, a_n, z)$ sending $h_i \mapsto a_i$, $g \mapsto z$. The counit is $\varepsilon = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$. The following lemma is now easy to check:

Lemma 10.1. *Suppose $(\mathbf{a}, z), (\mathbf{a}', z') \in \Gamma$.*

- (1) *The convolution operation in Γ is: $(\mathbf{a}, z) * (\mathbf{a}', z') = (\mathbf{a} + z\mathbf{a}', zz')$.
The inverse operation is: $(\mathbf{a}, z)^{-1} = (-z^{-1}\mathbf{a}, z^{-1})$.*
- (2) *$(R^n, +)$ is naturally a subgroup of $(\Gamma, *)$, via: $\mathbf{a} \mapsto (\mathbf{a}, 1)$.*
- (3) *For any $1 < \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{a} \in R^n$, $(\mathbf{a}, z)$ has order ℓ in Γ if and only if z is a primitive ℓ th root of unity, or $\ell = \text{char}(R)$ and $z = 1$.*

Now for our first example: fix $\mathbf{a} \in R^n$ and define $\Pi := \{\varepsilon, \gamma\}$, with $\gamma = (\mathbf{a}, -1) \neq \varepsilon$. Then $\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h}) = \varepsilon(\mathbf{h}) + \gamma(\mathbf{h}) = \prod_{i=1}^n a_i$, and this can assume any value in R (nonzero if $n = 1$ and $R = \mathbb{F}_2$).

10.2. Cyclic groups. We can try to look for more general groups Π (say, abelian) such that $\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h})$ may equal any value in R . We now prove

Theorem 10.1. *Fix $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with m even. Suppose $\text{char}(R) > 2^n$ if it is positive, and $R \supset \mathbb{Q}$ if $\text{char}(R) = 0$. If there is a primitive m th root of unity in R , then for all $r \in R$, there is a finite abelian subgroup Π of Γ of order m , so that $\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h}) = r$.*

In other words, for all $\mathcal{H}_n$ and all r , we can find such a Π . Before giving the proof, we remark that all such abelian Π 's (as above) are cyclic:

Theorem 10.2. *We work with $\mathcal{H}_n$ over general R .*

- (1) *If Π is a finite abelian subgroup of Γ , then Π is a cyclic subgroup of order not divisible by $\text{char}(R)$, or else $\text{char}(R) = p > 0$ and $\Pi \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^k$ is contained in $\subset (R^n, 1) \subset \Gamma$.*
- (2) *If R is a field, the conjugacy classes of cyclic subgroups in Γ are in bijection with $\mathbb{P}R^n \amalg R^\times$; the conjugacy classes of finite cyclic subgroups are in bijection with $\mathbb{P}R^n \amalg \sqrt{1}$ if $\text{char}(R) > 0$, and with $\sqrt{1}$ if $\text{char}(R) = 0$. (Here, $\sqrt{1}$ denotes all roots of unity in R , and $\mathbb{P}R^n = (R^n \setminus \{0\})/R^\times$.)*

We omit the proof, but it uses an intermediate result, which shows that the “ $z \neq 1$ ” elements rarely commute with one another.

Proposition 10.1. *Suppose $\gamma = (\mathbf{a}, z), \gamma' = (\mathbf{a}', z') \in \Gamma$ are commuting elements with $z, z' \neq 1$. If $z^m = (z')^{m'}$ for $m, m' \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\gamma^m = (\gamma')^{m'}$.*

We now prove the original theorem.

Proof of Theorem 10.1. If there exists a primitive m th root of unity, say z , then we first claim that $\text{char}(R) \nmid m$, whence m is invertible in R by assumption. This is because by assumption, $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$, and if it equals some odd prime p , then there exists a primitive p th root of unity $z^{m/p}$ in R ; however, the proof of the first part of Theorem 5.1 suggests that such a thing is impossible in characteristic p .

Next, given such a z , the cyclic subgroups of order m are precisely the ones generated by $(\mathbf{a}, z)$ for any $\mathbf{a}$, by Lemma 10.1 above. We shall choose a specific $\mathbf{a}$ later; however, we now apply Theorem 6.2 above. In that notation, S would consist precisely of those subsets I of $[n]$, for which m divides $|I|$. Since m is even, we get that the alternating sum precisely equals

$$s := \sum_{m \mid |I|} 1 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{mj} \leq \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} = 2^n$$

and this is, therefore, nonzero in R , and hence invertible in R by assumption.

Hence, by Theorem 6.2 above, we get

$$\Sigma_{\Pi}(\mathbf{h}) = (-1)^n \cdot m \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i}{z-1} \cdot s = \frac{sm}{(1-z)^n} \cdot \prod_i a_i$$

As remarked above, $sm \in R^\times$. Thus, if we choose any a_i 's that satisfy: $\prod_i a_i = r(sm)^{-1}(1-z)^n$, then we are done. $\square$

Remark 10.1 (An alternate expression for the above sum). In the above proof, there was an expression s , that involved summing certain binomial

coefficients. We now mention another way to write it (this is from [Knu, Exercise 38, §1.2.6]).

Note that substituting $x = 1$ in the binomial formula

$$(1+x)^n = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} x^j \quad (8)$$

shows: $\sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} = 2^n$. This procedure can be generalized to compute $\sum_{j=0}^\infty \binom{n}{mj}$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, as follows: define $S_i := \sum_{j=0}^\infty \binom{n}{mj+i}$. Thus, we want S_0 . Now let $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/m} \in \mathbb{C}$. Substitute $x = 1, \zeta, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{m-1}$ into equation (8) above (we work in $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta] \subset \mathbb{C}$ here). We thus get

$$(1 + \zeta^k)^n = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \zeta^{ik} S_i$$

and if we sum over k (and interchange the order of summing on the right),

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (1 + \zeta^k)^n = m S_0$$

since the coefficient of each S_i is a geometric sequence, and the nonconstant ones (i.e. $i > 0$) all add up to zero. We finally conclude that

$$S_0 = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \binom{n}{mj} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (1 + \zeta^k)^n$$

with the k th and $(m-k)$ th terms complex conjugates for each $0 < k < m/2$.

10.3. Abelian groups. Finally, given any abelian group Π of even order, we produce a Hopf algebra H and skew-primitive $h_i \in H$, so that $\Pi \subset \Gamma_H$ and $\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h})$ attains any given value.

Let us write $\Pi = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}/d_i\mathbb{Z}$, with $d_i | d_k \forall i$; such a thing is possible by the Structure Theorem for Finite Abelian Groups (and Π has even order if and only if d_k - called the *exponent* of Π - is even). We will denote a fixed generator of the i th summand by γ_i .

Also define $d = d_k$, and let R be any commutative integral domain of characteristic zero (though $\text{char}(R) \gg 0$ would also work), that contains $\mathbb{Q}$ as well as a primitive d th root of unity, say ζ . For each i , define $\zeta_i := \zeta^{d/d_i}$.

We now define our Hopf algebra H . For this, we need to use notation from the example on group rings, given long ago. We define G' to be the free abelian group $\mathfrak{G}_{k-n,0}$ on $k-n$ letters, if $k > n$; and the trivial group otherwise. Now set $H' = RG' = R[\mathbb{Z}^{k-\min(n,k)}]$ and

$$H := H' \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\min(n,k)} \mathcal{H}_i,$$

a commutative R -Hopf algebra (where $\mathcal{H}_i \cong \mathcal{H}_1$ is generated by $g_i, x_i \forall i$). There are two cases now:

- (1) Suppose $n \geq k$. Then $H' = R$ and the set of weights is $(R \times R^\times)^k$. We take the h_i 's to be $x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_k, x_k, \dots, x_k$. We will take γ_i to be $(a_i, \zeta_i) \in R \times R^\times$ for each i .

(As in the proof of Theorem 10.1, $\text{char}(R) \nmid d$ and hence $\text{char}(R) \nmid |\Pi|$, since there exists a primitive d th root of unity in R .) Now apply Theorem 6.2 again; we get that S consists of all those I which are subsets of $\{k, k+1, \dots, n\}$ and have size divisible by d . (This is because if $i \in I$ and $i < k$, then $\gamma_i(g_I 1_{[n] \setminus I}) = \gamma_i(g_i) = \zeta_i \neq 1$.) Since d is even, hence $(-1)^{|I|} = 1 \forall I \in S$, and by Theorem 6.2,

$$\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h}) = (-1)^n |\Pi| \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{a_i}{\zeta_i - 1} \cdot \left(\frac{a_k}{\zeta - 1} \right)^{n-k+1} \cdot |S|$$

and we can once again set a_i 's to be suitable values in $R \supset \mathbb{Q}$, so that $\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h}) = r \in R$ for any r (note that $|\Pi| \cdot |S| \in \mathbb{N}$ is invertible in $\mathbb{Q}$, hence is in $R^\times$ too).

- (2) (This part works for any Π .) Suppose $n < k$ - so G', H' are nontrivial. Let $y_1, \dots, y_{k-n}$ denote a $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of G' ; finally, define $h_i = x_i \forall i$.

We now mention what the γ_i 's are. Since Π is abelian, we write it as $\Pi = \Pi' \times \Pi''$, with generators given as follows:

$$\Pi'' = \langle \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \rangle, \quad \Pi' = \langle \gamma_{n+1}, \dots, \gamma_k \rangle$$

with the γ_i 's acting on H as follows:

- (a) If $1 \leq i \leq n$, then γ_i sends G' to 1, (x_j, g_j) to $(0, 1)$ for $j \neq i$, and (x_i, g_i) to (a_i, ζ_i) , where $a_i \in R$ will be fixed later.
- (b) If $i > n$, then γ_i sends y_{i-n} to ζ_i , all other y_j 's to 1, and all (x_j, g_j) 's to $(0, 1)$.

Lemma 10.2. *All the γ_i 's commute, and each γ_i has order d_i .*

Proof. We only sketch the proof, that is easy to carry out. The order of each γ_i is d_i because ζ_i occurs "somewhere" in the definition of γ_i . Next, all γ_i 's evidently commute on the g_i 's and the y_i 's, so it is enough to show that they commute on the x_i 's. But this is easy to show, because $(\mathbf{0}, 1)$ commutes with every $(\mathbf{a}, z)$. $\square$

We now compute $\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h})$. For this, we will use Lemma 4.2 above, with $\Pi^\circ = \Pi, h = \mathbf{h}, \Gamma'' = \Pi'$. To use the lemma, we note that Γ'' is normal in Π° (since Π is abelian), and it remains to show that $\Gamma'' \subset \Gamma_{\mathbf{h}}$. But this follows from Proposition 4.1 if we show that $\Gamma'' \subset \Gamma'_{\mathbf{h}}$. Proposition 4.1 and the finiteness of Γ'' allow us to further simplify our task - we thus only have to show that for all $i > n$ and all j , $\gamma_i \in \Gamma'_{h_j}$.

But now, we note that $C_{h_j} = Rh_j + Rg_j$, and for any $i > n$, we have (by definition) that $\gamma_i(x_j) = 0 = \varepsilon(h_j)$ and $\gamma_i(g_j) = 1 = \varepsilon(g_j)$. Thus we can apply Lemma 4.2, whence $\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h}) = |\Pi'| \Sigma_{\Pi''}(\mathbf{h})$. But $\Pi'' \subset \Pi \subset \Gamma_H$ is abelian with no h_i pseudo-primitive with respect to Π'' . Hence by Theorem 6.2,

$$= |\Pi'|(-1)^n |\Pi''| \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i}{\zeta_i - 1} \cdot 1$$

because $S = \emptyset$ here (for if $I \in S$ and $i \in I$, then $i \leq n$, whence $\gamma_i(\mathbf{g}) = \gamma_i(g_i) = \zeta_i \neq 1$). Therefore for *any* abelian Π ,

$$\Sigma_\Pi(\mathbf{h}) = |\Pi| \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i}{1 - \zeta_i}$$

and once again, if $\text{char}(R)$ is large enough (or zero), then $|\Pi| \in (\mathbb{Q} \subset R^\times$, and we can choose a_i 's suitably, to get any $r \in R$.

Acknowledgements. I thank Susan Montgomery for going through the paper, and for pointing me to the reference [AS].

REFERENCES

- [Abe] Eiichi Abe, *Hopf algebras* (1977), Cambridge University Press, London-New York.
- [AB] J.L. Alperin and R.B. Bell, *Groups and Representations*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, no. **162** (1995), Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York.
- [AS] Nicolás Andruskiewitsch and Hans-Jürgen Schneider, *On the classification of finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebras*, accepted in the Annals of Mathematics; preprint (2006), available at [math.QA/0502157](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.QA/0502157) v3.
- [Ch] Ivan Cherednik, *Integration of Quantum Many-Body Problems by Affine Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov Equations*, Advances in Mathematics **106** (1994), 65–95.
- [HaKr] Tom Hadfield and Ulrich Krähmer, *On the Hochschild Homology of Quantum $SL(N)$* , preprint (2006), available at [math.QA/0509254](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.QA/0509254) v3.
- [Hu1] Naihong Hu, *Quantum Group Structure of the q -Deformed Virasoro Algebra*, Letters in Mathematical Physics **44** (1998), 99–103.
- [Hu2] ———, *Quantum group structure associated to the quantum affine space*, Algebra Colloquium **11** No. 4 (2004), 483–492; preprint (2004), available at www.math.ecnu.edu.cn/preprint/2004-003.pdf.
- [H] James E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, no. **9** (1972), Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York.
- [Ja] Jens Carsten Jantzen, *Lectures on Quantum Groups*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **6**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1995.
- [Knu] Donald E. Knuth, *The Art of Computer Programming, Volume 1: Fundamental Algorithms* (3rd edition), Addison-Wesley, 1997.
- [Maj] Shahn Majid, *A Quantum Groups Primer*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **292** (2002), Cambridge University Press, London-New York.
- [Mon] Susan Montgomery, *Hopf algebras and their actions on rings*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics **82**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1993.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT RIVERSIDE, USA
E-mail address: apoorva@math.ucr.edu